arXiv:math/0308047v1 [math.QA] 6 Aug 2003

POISSON STRUCTURES OF MULTI-PARAMETER SYMPLECTIC AND EUCLIDEAN SPACES

SEI-QWON OH

Abstract. A class of Poisson algebras considered as a Poisson version of the multiparameter quantized coordinate rings of symplectic and Euclidean $2n$-spaces is constructed and the prime Poisson ideals and the symplectic ideals of these Poisson algebras are described. As a result, it is shown that the multiparameter quantized symplectic and Euclidean $2n$-spaces are topological quotients of their classical spaces.

Introduction

There is the following conjecture in [1, II.10.12]: Primitive spectra of quantized algebras are topological quotients of their classical spaces. This conjecture is known to hold in the cases $\mathcal{O}_q(SL_2)$, $\mathcal{O}_q((\mathbf{k}^\times)^n)$ in [17], $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbf{k}^n)$ in [4] and $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathfrak{sp}(\mathbf{k}^4))$ in [7]. The main purpose of this paper is to show that this conjecture is true for the multiparameter quantized symplectic and Euclidean $2n$-spaces.

A quantization of a Poisson algebra is a certain associative algebra with multiplication deformed by a given Poisson bracket [2, Ch. 6] and thus quantized spaces seem to be naturally related to their Poisson structures. For instance, Hodges, Levasseur and Toro described in [6] that the primitive ideals of a multiparameter quantum group correspond to the symplectic leaves of its Poisson variety in the case when they are algebraic and Vancliff have a similar result for $\mathcal{O}_q(M_2)$ in[17]. Goodearl and Letzter proved in [4] that the prime and primitive spectra of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{k}^n)$, the multiparameter quantized coordinate ring of affine n-space over an algebraically closed field are topological quotients of the corresponding classical spectra and the author showed in [14] that the prime and primitive spectra of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{k}^n)$ are topological quotients of the corresponding Poisson spectra. Hence it seems that if A is a Poisson algebra which is the coordinate ring of an affine variety V then the prime and primitive spectra of standard quantized coordinate rings of V are topological quotients of the prime Poisson and symplectic spectra of A. Here we investigate the Poisson structures for the multiparameter quantized symplectic and Euclidean $2n$-spaces and then we prove using the

---

Key words and phrases. Poisson algebra, quantized algebra.

The author is supported by the Korea Research Foundation Grant, KRF-2002-015-CP0010.

Poisson structures that the prime and primitive spectra of the multiparameter quantized symplectic and Euclidean $2n$-spaces are topological quotients of their classical corresponding spaces.

A class of algebras $K_{n,\Gamma}^{P,Q}$, constructed by Horton in [8], includes the multiparameter quantized coordinate rings of symplectic and Euclidean $2n$-spaces, the graded quantized Weyl algebra, the quantized Heisenberg space, and is similar to a class of iterated skew polynomial rings constructed by Gómez-Torrecillas and Kaoutit in [3]. The prime and primitive spectra for the multiparameter quantized coordinate rings of symplectic and Euclidean $2n$-spaces were established by Gómez-Torrecillas and Kaoutit in [3], by Horton in [8] and by the author in [13]. Here we construct a class of Poisson algebras $A_{n,\Gamma}^{P,Q}$, which is considered as a Poisson version of $K_{n,\Gamma}^{P,Q}$ and investigate the Poisson structure of $A_{n,\Gamma}^{P,Q}$. The Poisson structures for $A_{n,\Gamma}^{P,Q}$ obtained here may be considered as Poisson versions for the algebraic structures of the multiparameter quantized coordinate rings of symplectic and Euclidean $2n$-spaces established by Gómez-Torrecillas and Kaoutit in [3] or by Horton in [8].

In the section 1 and 2, we construct a Poisson polynomial ring which is considered as a Poisson version of a skew polynomial ring and study several basic properties for the Poisson algebras $A_{n,\Gamma}^{P,Q}$. In the section 3, we consider an additive group K acting by Poisson derivations on $A_{n,\Gamma}^{P,Q}$ which gives a classification of K-prime Poisson ideals of $A_{n,\Gamma}^{P,Q}$. Here we see that the additive group K is considered as a Poisson version of a multiplicative group acting by automorphisms on $K_{n,\Gamma}^{P,Q}$. In the section 4, we prove that the prime and primitive spectra of $K_{n,\Gamma}^{P,Q}$ are topological quotients of the prime Poisson and symplectic spectra of $A_{n,\Gamma}^{P,Q}$ and, as a corollary, we have that the conjecture [1, II.10.12] for the quantum symplectic and Euclidean $2n$-spaces is true, that is, the prime and primitive spectra of $K_{n,\Gamma}^{P,Q}$ are topological quotients of the corresponding classical spectra.

Assume throughout the paper that $\mathbf{k}$ denotes an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and that all vector spaces are over $\mathbf{k}$. A Poisson algebra A is always a commutative $\mathbf{k}$-algebra with $\mathbf{k}$-bilinear map $\{\cdot,\cdot\}$, called a Poisson bracket, such that $(A,\{\cdot,\cdot\})$ is a Lie algebra and $\{\cdot,\cdot\}$ satisfies the Leibniz rule, that is,

$$\{ab,c\}=a\{b,c\}+b\{a,c\}$$

for all $a,b,c\in A$. Hence, for any element $a\in A$, the map

$$h_a : A \longrightarrow A, \quad h_a(b)=\{a,b\}$$

is a derivation in A which is called a Hamiltonian defined by a. Assume throughout the paper that $\mathcal{H}(A)$ denotes the set of all Hamiltonians of A.

1. Poisson polynomial ring

Let A be a Poisson algebra. A derivation δ on A is said to be a Poisson derivation if $\delta(\{a,b\}) = \{\delta(a), b\} + \{a, \delta(b)\}$ for all $a, b \in A$.

Theorem 1.1. *For a Poisson algebra A with Poisson bracket $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_A$ and $\mathbf{k}$-linear maps α, δ from A into itself, the polynomial ring $A[x]$ is a Poisson algebra with Poisson bracket*

$$\{a, x\} = \alpha(a)x + \delta(a) \tag{1.1}$$

for all $a \in A$ if and only if α is a Poisson derivation and δ is a derivation such that

$$\delta(\{a,b\}_A) - \{\delta(a), b\}_A - \{a, \delta(b)\}_A = \delta(a)\alpha(b) - \alpha(a)\delta(b) \tag{1.2}$$

for all $a, b \in A$. In this case, we denote the Poisson algebra $A[x]$ by $A[x;\alpha,\delta]_p$ and if $\delta = 0$ then we simply write $A[x;\alpha]_p$ for $A[x;\alpha,0]_p$.

Proof. If $A[x]$ is a Poisson algebra with the Poisson bracket (1.1) then we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\{ab, x\} &= \alpha(ab)x + \delta(ab)\\ a\{b,x\} + \{a,x\}b &= (a\alpha(b) + \alpha(a)b)x + (a\delta(b) + \delta(a)b)\end{aligned}$$

for all $a, b \in A$, and thus both α and δ are derivations on A. Moreover, since the Poisson bracket $\{\cdot,\cdot\}$ satisfies the Jacobi identity, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \{\{a,b\},x\} + \{\{b,x\},a\} + \{\{x,a\},b\}\\ &= (\alpha(\{a,b\}_A) - \{\alpha(a),b\}_A - \{a,\alpha(b)\}_A)x\\ &\quad + \delta(\{a,b\}_A) - \{\delta(a),b\}_A - \{a,\delta(b)\}_A - \delta(a)\alpha(b) + \alpha(a)\delta(b)\end{aligned}$$

for all $a, b \in A$. Hence α is a Poisson derivation and δ is a derivation such that the pair (α, δ) satisfies (1.2).

Conversely, we suppose that α is a Poisson derivation and δ is a derivation satisfying the condition (1.2). It is enough to check that the $\mathbf{k}$-bilinear map $\{\cdot,\cdot\} : A[x] \times A[x] \longrightarrow A[x]$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\{ax^i, bx^j\} = (\{a,b\}_A + jb\alpha(a) - ia\alpha(b))x^{i+j}&\\ + (jb\delta(a) - ia\delta(b))x^{i+j-1}&\end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

for all monomials ax^i and bx^j in $A[x]$ is a Poisson bracket on $A[x]$ since (1.1) is the case for $i = 0, j = 1$ and $b = 1$ in (1.3) and every derivation is uniquely determined by images for generators.

It is easy to check that $\{f, g\} = -\{g, f\}$ for all $f, g \in A[x]$ and that, for a fixed element $f \in A[x]$, the $\mathbf{k}$-linear maps

$$\{f,\cdot\} : A[x] \longrightarrow A[x],\ g \mapsto \{f,g\} \text{ and } \{\cdot, f\} : A[x] \longrightarrow A[x],\ g \mapsto \{g, f\}$$

are derivations on $A[x]$. It remains to check that the bracket $\{\cdot,\cdot\}$ given in (1.3) satisfies the Jacobi identity. For $ax^i, bx^j, cx^k \in A[x]$, it is checked that

$$\{\{ax^i, bx^j\}, cx^k\} + \{\{bx^j, cx^k\}, ax^i\} + \{\{cx^k, ax^i\}, bx^j\} = 0$$

by using Leibniz rule and the induction on i, j, k. It completes the proof. ☐

Lemma 1.2. *Let α and δ be derivations on a Poisson algebra A.*

(a) *If $\alpha(a) = \delta(a)$ for all generators a of the algebra A then $\alpha = \delta$.*
(b) *If $\alpha\delta(a) = \delta\alpha(a)$ for all generators a of the algebra A then $\alpha\delta = \delta\alpha$.*
(c) *If α satisfies the condition $\alpha(\{a, b\}) = \{\alpha(a), b\} + \{a, \alpha(b)\}$ for all generators a, b of the algebra A then α is a Poisson derivation.*
(d) *If α and δ satisfy the condition (1.2) for all generators of the algebra A, then α and δ satisfy (1.2) for all elements in A.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on the length of monomials of generators in A. Now, it is easy to check (a) and (b) and thus we prove (c) and (d). Let a, b, c be monomials of generators of A.

(c) By the induction hypothesis, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha(\{ab, c\}) &= \alpha(a\{b, c\} + b\{a, c\})\\
&= \alpha(a)\{b, c\} + a\alpha(\{b, c\}) + \alpha(b)\{a, c\} + b\alpha(\{a, c\})\\
&= \alpha(a)\{b, c\} + a(\{\alpha(b), c\} + \{b, \alpha(c)\})\\
&\qquad + \alpha(b)\{a, c\} + b(\{\alpha(a), c\} + \{a, \alpha(c)\})\\
\{\alpha(ab), c\} + \{ab, \alpha(c)\} &= \{\alpha(a)b, c\} + \{a\alpha(b), c\} + \{ab, \alpha(c)\}\\
&= b\{\alpha(a), c\} + \alpha(a)\{b, c\} + a\{\alpha(b), c\}\\
&\qquad + \alpha(b)\{b, c\} + a\{b, \alpha(c)\} + b\{a, \alpha(c)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\alpha(\{ab, c\}) = \{\alpha(ab), c\} + \{ab, \alpha(c)\}$ and so α is a Poisson derivation by the induction on the length of monomials.

(d) By the induction hypothesis, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\delta(\{ab, c\}) - \{\delta(ab), c\} - \{ab, \delta(c)\}\\
&\quad= \delta(a)\{b, c\} + a\delta(\{b, c\}) + \delta(b)\{a, c\} + b\delta(\{a, c\})\\
&\qquad - \delta(a)\{b, c\} - b\{\delta(a), c\} - \delta(b)\{a, c\} - a\{\delta(b), c\}\\
&\qquad - a\{b, \delta(c)\} - b\{a, \delta(c)\}\\
&\quad= a(\delta(\{b, c\}) - \{\delta(b), c\} - \{b, \delta(c)\})\\
&\qquad + b(\delta(\{a, c\}) - \{\delta(a), c\} - \{a, \delta(c)\})\\
&\quad= a(\delta(b)\alpha(c) - \alpha(b)\delta(c)) + b(\delta(a)\alpha(c) - \alpha(a)\delta(c))\\
&\delta(ab)\alpha(c) - \alpha(ab)\delta(c)\\
&\quad= \delta(a)b\alpha(c) + a\delta(b)\alpha(c) - \alpha(a)b\delta(c) - a\alpha(b)\delta(c).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have $\delta(\{ab, c\}) - \{\delta(ab), c\} - \{ab, \delta(c)\} = \delta(ab)\alpha(c) - \alpha(ab)\delta(c)$, as claimed. ☐

An ideal I of a Poisson algebra A is said to be a Poisson ideal of A if $\{I, A\} \subseteq I$. For Poisson algebras A and B, an algebra homomorphism $f : A \longrightarrow B$ is said to be a Poisson homomorphism if $f(\{a, b\}) = \{f(a), f(b)\}$ for all $a, b \in A$.

Lemma 1.3. *For a Poisson algebra A, let α be a Poisson derivation and let δ be a derivation satisfying (1.2).*

- (a) *If I is an (α,δ)-stable Poisson ideal of A then $IA[x;\alpha,\delta]_p$ is a Poisson ideal of $A[x;\alpha,\delta]_p$ and $A[x;\alpha,\delta]_p/IA[x;\alpha,\delta]_p \cong (A/I)[x;\overline{\alpha},\overline{\delta}]_p$, where $\overline{\alpha}$ and $\overline{\delta}$ are the maps in A/I induced by α and δ respectively.*
- (b) *Let β be a Poisson derivation on $A[y;\alpha]_p$ such that $\beta(A)\subseteq A$ and $\beta(y)=cy$ for some $c\in \mathbf{k}$. Then $A[y;\alpha]_p[x;\beta]_p \cong A[x;\beta|_A]_p[y;\alpha']_p$, where α' is a Poisson derivation on $A[x;\beta|_A]_p$ such that $\alpha'|_A=\alpha$ and $\alpha'(x)=-cx$.*

Proof. (a) Note that the Poisson algebras $A[x;\alpha,\delta]_p$ and $(A/I)[x;\overline{\alpha},\overline{\delta}]_p$ are constructed by Theorem 1.1. The map $\psi : A[x;\alpha,\delta]_p \longrightarrow (A/I)[x;\overline{\alpha},\overline{\delta}]_p$ defined by $\psi(a_0+a_1x+\cdots+a_nx^n)=\overline{a}_0+\overline{a}_1x+\cdots+\overline{a}_nx^n$ is a Poisson epimorphism and has the kernel $IA[x;\alpha,\delta]_p$, hence we have the conclusion.

(b) Observe that there exists a Poisson algebra $A[x;\beta|_A]_p$ since $\beta|_A$ is a Poisson derivation on A. Since $A[x,y]=A[y;\alpha]_p[x;\beta]_p$ is a Poisson algebra, the map α' on $A[x;\beta|_A]_p$ satisfying $\{f,y\}=\alpha'(f)y$ for all $f\in A[x;\beta|_A]_p$ is a Poisson derivation such that $\alpha'|_A=\alpha$ and $\alpha'(x)=-cx$ by Theorem 1.1, and thus the Poisson algebra $A[x;\beta|_A]_p[y;\alpha']_p$ is constructed. Clearly, the identity map from $A[y;\alpha]_p[x;\beta]_p$ into $A[x;\beta|_A]_p[y;\alpha']_p$ is a Poisson isomorphism. □

Proposition 1.4. *Let A be a Poisson algebra. For Poisson derivations α and β on A, $c\in\mathbf{k}$ and $u\in A$ such that*

$$\alpha\beta=\beta\alpha,\quad \{a,u\}=(\alpha+\beta)(a)u$$

for all $a\in A$, the polynomial ring $A[y,x]$ has the following Poisson bracket

$$\{a,y\}=\alpha(a)y,\ \{a,x\}=\beta(a)x,\ \{y,x\}=cyx+u \tag{1.4}$$

for all $a\in A$. The Poisson algebra $A[y,x]$ with Poisson bracket (1.4) can be presented by $A[y;\alpha]_p[x;\beta',\delta]_p$, where β' is the Poisson derivation on $A[y;\alpha]_p$ such that $\beta'|_A=\beta$ and $\beta'(y)=cy$, and δ is the derivation on $A[y;\alpha]_p$ such that $\delta|_A=0$, $\delta(y)=u$. We often denote by $(A;\alpha,\beta,c,u)$ the Poisson algebra $A[y,x]$ with Poisson bracket (1.4).

Proof. By Theorem 1.1, there exists the Poisson algebra $A[y;\alpha]_p$ with Poisson bracket $\{a,y\}=\alpha(a)y$ for all $a\in A$ and the derivation β is extended to a derivation, denoted by β', to $A[y;\alpha]_p$ by setting $\beta'(y)=cy$. Note that the derivation $\delta=u\frac{d}{dy}$ on $A[y;\alpha]_p$ satisfies $\delta(y)=u$ and $\delta(a)=0$ for all $a\in A$. Let us prove that, for all $f,g\in A[y;\alpha]_p$,

$$\begin{aligned}\beta'(\{f,g\})&=\{\beta'(f),g\}+\{f,\beta'(g)\}\\ \delta(\{f,g\})&=\{\delta(f),g\}+\{f,\delta(g)\}+\delta(f)\beta'(g)-\beta'(f)\delta(g).\end{aligned}\tag{1.5}$$

If $f,g\in A$ then the formulas in (1.5) hold trivially since β' is a Poisson derivation on A. Hence it is enough to prove (1.5) for the case $f=a\in A$

and $g = y$ by Lemma 1.2. Now we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\beta'(\{a,y\}) &= \beta'(\alpha(a)y) = \alpha(a)\beta'(y) + \beta'(\alpha(a))y\\ &= c\alpha(a)y + \alpha(\beta(a))y = \{\beta'(a), y\} + \{a, \beta'(y)\}\\ \delta(\{a,y\}) &= \delta(\alpha(a)y) = \alpha(a)u = \{a,u\} - \beta(a)u\\ &= \{\delta(a), y\} + \{a, \delta(y)\} + \delta(a)\beta'(y) - \beta'(a)\delta(y),\end{aligned}$$

as claimed.

Therefore β' is a Poisson derivation on $A[y;\alpha]_p$ such that the pair (β', δ) satisfies (1.2), and thus, by Theorem 1.1, there exists the Poisson algebra $A[y,x] = A[y;\alpha]_p[x;\beta',\delta]_p$ with the Poisson bracket (1.4). ☐

Example. For the Poisson algebra $\mathbf{k}[b,c]$ with trivial Poisson bracket, that is, $\{b,c\} = 0$, the derivation $\alpha = -2b\frac{\partial}{\partial b} - 2c\frac{\partial}{\partial c}$ on $\mathbf{k}[b,c]$ is a Poisson derivation clearly. Observe that the Poisson algebra $(\mathbf{k}[b,c], \alpha, -\alpha, 0, 4bc)$ is the Poisson algebra $\mathbf{k}[b,c][a,d]$ with Poisson bracket

$$\begin{array}{lll}\{b,c\} = 0, & \{b,a\} = -2ba, & \{c,a\} = -2ca,\\ \{b,d\} = 2bd, & \{c,d\} = 2cd, & \{a,d\} = 4bc,\end{array}$$

which is the Poisson algebra given in [14, 2.9], [10, Example 3.2.9] and [17, 3.13].

Example. The Poisson algebra $(\mathbf{k}, 0, 0, 0, 1)$ is the algebra $\mathbf{k}[y,x]$ with Poisson bracket $\{y,x\} = 1$, which is equal to the Poisson algebra $\mathbf{k}[y,x]$ with Poisson bracket $\{f,g\} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\frac{\partial g}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$, $f, g \in \mathbf{k}[y,x]$, given in [2, p. 18].

Lemma 1.5. *Let A and B be commutative $\mathbf{k}$-algebras, S a multiplicative set of A and $\psi : A \longrightarrow B$ an algebra homomorphism. If δ is a $\mathbf{k}$-linear map from A into B such that*

$$\delta(ab) = \delta(a)\psi(b) + \psi(a)\delta(b) \tag{1.6}$$

for all $a, b \in A$, then there exists a unique $\mathbf{k}$-linear map δ' from $A[S^{-1}]$ into $B[\psi(S)^{-1}]$ such that $\delta'(a) = \delta(a)$ for $a \in A$ and

$$\delta'((as^{-1})(bt^{-1})) = \delta'(as^{-1})\psi'(bt^{-1}) + \psi'(as^{-1})\delta(bt^{-1})$$

for all $as^{-1}, bt^{-1} \in A[S^{-1}]$, where ψ' is the extension of ψ from $A[S^{-1}]$ into $B[\psi(S)^{-1}]$.

Proof. Define $\delta'(as^{-1}) = (\delta(a)\psi(s) - \psi(a)\delta(s))\psi(s)^{-2}$ for all $as^{-1} \in A[S^{-1}]$. It is checked routinely that δ' is a well-defined $\mathbf{k}$-linear map and satisfies the required conditions. ☐

Proposition 1.6. *Let S be a multiplicative set of a Poisson algebra A.*

(a) *The localization $A[S^{-1}]$ has the Poisson bracket defined by*

$$\{as^{-1}, bt^{-1}\} = (\{a,b\}st - \{a,t\}bs - \{s,b\}at + \{s,t\}ab)s^{-2}t^{-2}$$

for $as^{-1}, bt^{-1} \in A[S^{-1}]$.

(b) *If δ is a (respectively, Poisson) derivation on A then there exists a (respectively, Poisson) derivation δ' on $S^{-1}A$ defined by $\delta'(as^{-1}) = (\delta(a)s - a\delta(s))s^{-2}$ for $as^{-1} \in A[S^{-1}]$.*

(c) *If a group H acts by (respectively, Poisson) derivations on A then H acts by (respectively, Poisson) derivations on $A[S^{-1}]$. Moreover, if $a \in A$ and $s \in S$ are H-eigenvectors and $as^{-1} \neq 0$ then $as^{-1} \in A[S^{-1}]$ is also an H-eigenvector.*

Proof. If δ is a derivation on A then, by [12, 14.2.2], there exists a unique derivation δ' on $S^{-1}A$ such that $\delta'(a) = \delta(a)$ for all $a \in A$, and thus $\delta'(as^{-1}) = (\delta(a)s - a\delta(s))s^{-2}$ for $as^{-1} \in A[S^{-1}]$.

(a) For $a \in A$, let ℓ_a be the derivation on A defined by $\ell_a(b) = \{a, b\}$ for all $b \in A$. Then there exists a derivation ℓ'_a on $A[S^{-1}]$ such that $\ell'(bs^{-1}) = (\ell_a(b)s - b\ell_a(s))s^{-2}$ for $bs^{-1} \in A[S^{-1}]$. Fix an element $bs^{-1} \in A[S^{-1}]$ and let j be the natural homomorphism from A into $A[S^{-1}]$. Then the $\mathbf{k}$-linear map $r_{bs^{-1}}$ from A into $A[S^{-1}]$ defined by $r_{bs^{-1}}(a) = \ell_a(bs^{-1})$ for all $a \in A$ satisfies (1.6), and thus there exists a derivation $r'_{bs^{-1}}$ on $A[S^{-1}]$ such that $r'_{bs^{-1}}(a) = r_{bs^{-1}}(a)$ by Lemma 1.5 since $A[S^{-1}][j(S)^{-1}] = A[S^{-1}]$.

Now, define the Poisson bracket on $A[S^{-1}]$ by

$$\{as^{-1}, bt^{-1}\} = r'_{bt^{-1}}(as^{-1})$$

for $as^{-1}, bt^{-1} \in A[S^{-1}]$. Then it is easy to check that $A[S^{-1}]$ is a Poisson algebra and that

$$\{as^{-1}, bt^{-1}\} = (\{a, b\}st - \{a, t\}bs - \{s, b\}at + \{s, t\}ab)s^{-2}t^{-2}$$

for $as^{-1}, bt^{-1} \in A[S^{-1}]$.

(b) By [12, 14.2.2], δ' is the unique derivation such that $\delta'(a) = \delta(a)$ for all $a \in A$ and if δ is a Poisson derivation then the fact that δ' is a also a Poisson derivation is verified immediately by using Lemma 1.3.

(c) It follows immediately from (b). □

An element z of a Poisson algebra A is said to be Poisson normal if z is not a zero divisor and $\{z, A\} \subseteq zA$. Hence if $z \in A$ is Poisson normal then there exists a Poisson derivation γ on A defined by

$$\{a, z\} = \gamma(a)z$$

for all $a \in A$.

Lemma 1.7. *In the Poisson algebra $B = (A; \alpha, \beta, c, u)$ given in Proposition 1.4, suppose that $\alpha(u) = du, \beta(u) = -du$ for some $d \in \mathbf{k}$ such that $c + d \neq 0$ and set*

$$z = (c + d)yx + u.$$

Then

(a) *z is a Poisson normal element of B. More precisely,*

$$\{a, z\} = (\alpha + \beta)(a)z, \quad \{y, z\} = cyz, \quad \{x, z\} = -cxz$$

for all $a \in A$.

(b) $B[y^{-1}] = A[y^{\pm 1};\alpha]_p[y^{-1}z;\beta']_p$, *where* β' *is the extension of* β *with* $\beta'(y) = cy$.

Proof. (a) It follows immediately from a straight calculation.

(b) Note that $B[y^{-1}] = A[y^{\pm 1};\alpha]_p[x;\beta',\delta]_p$, where β' and δ are given in Proposition 1.4. Since

$$\begin{aligned}\{a, y^{-1}z\} &= \beta(a)y^{-1}z, \quad a \in A\\ \{y, y^{-1}z\} &= cy(y^{-1}z)\\ \{x, y^{-1}z\} &= y^{-1}u(y^{-1}z),\end{aligned}$$

$y^{-1}z$ is a Poisson normal element of $B[y^{-1}]$ and $\{f, y^{-1}z\} = \beta'(f)y^{-1}z$ for all $f \in A[y^{\pm 1};\alpha]_p$. Hence the Poisson algebra $A[y^{\pm 1};\alpha]_p[y^{-1}z;\beta']_p$ is constructed by Theorem 1.1 and $B[y^{-1}] = A[y^{\pm 1};\alpha]_p[y^{-1}z;\beta']_p$ since

$$x = (c+d)^{-1}y^{-1}z - (c+d)^{-1}y^{-1}u \in A[y^{\pm 1};\alpha]_p[y^{-1}z;\beta']_p.$$

□

Let A be a Poisson algebra. A prime ideal of A which is also a Poisson ideal is called a prime Poisson ideal and a Poisson ideal N of A is said to be symplectic if there exists a maximal ideal M such that N is the largest Poisson ideal contained in M ([14, Definition 1.2]). For an ideal I of A, denote by $(I : \mathcal{H}(A))$ the largest $\mathcal{H}(A)$-stable ideal contained in I. Note that $(I : \mathcal{H}(A))$ is the largest Poisson ideal contained in I since $\mathcal{H}(A)$ is the set of all Hamiltonians of A. Hence if M is a maximal ideal of A then $(M : \mathcal{H}(A))$ is a symplectic ideal.

Lemma 1.8. *Let* A *be a Poisson algebra and let* I *be a proper Poisson ideal of* A*. Then there exists a prime Poisson ideal* P *of* A *containing* I*.*

Proof. Since I is proper, there exists a prime ideal Q of A such that $I \subseteq Q$. Set $P = (Q : \mathcal{H}(A))$. Then P is a prime Poisson ideal containing I by [14, Lemma 1.3]. □

Definition 1.9. For a **k**-algebra R and a Poisson **k**-algebra A, set

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{spec}(R) &= \text{the set of all prime ideals of } R\\ \operatorname{prim}(R) &= \text{the set of all primitive ideals of } R\\ \operatorname{pspec}(A) &= \text{the set of all prime Poisson ideals of } A\\ \operatorname{symp}(A) &= \text{the set of all symplectic ideals of } A\\ \max(A) &= \text{the set of all maximal ideals of } A.\end{aligned}$$

The sets $\operatorname{spec}(R)$ and $\operatorname{spec}(A)$ are topological spaces equipped with Zariski topologies and the others are also topological spaces equipped with relative topologies since $\operatorname{prim}(R) \subseteq \operatorname{spec}(R)$, $\max A \subseteq \operatorname{spec} A$ and $\operatorname{symp}(A) \subseteq \operatorname{pspec}(A) \subseteq \operatorname{spec}(A)$.

2. Poisson algebra $A_n = A_{n,\Gamma}^{P,Q}$

Theorem 2.1. *Let* $\Gamma = (\gamma_{ij})$ *be a skew-symmetric* $n \times n$*-matrix with entries in* $\mathbf{k}$*, that is,* $\gamma_{ij} = -\gamma_{ji}$ *for all* $i, j = 1, \cdots, n$*. Let* $P = (p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_n)$ *and* $Q = (q_1, q_2, \cdots, q_n)$ *be elements of* $\mathbf{k}^n$ *such that* $p_i \neq q_i$ *for each* $i = 1, \cdots, n$*. Then the polynomial ring* $\mathbf{k}[y_1, x_1, \cdots, y_n, x_n]$ *has the following Poisson bracket:*

$$\begin{array}{ll} \{y_i, y_j\} = \gamma_{ij} y_i y_j & (\textit{all } i, j) \\ \{x_i, y_j\} = (p_j - \gamma_{ij}) y_j x_i & (i < j) \\ \{y_i, x_j\} = -(q_i + \gamma_{ij}) y_i x_j & (i < j) \\ \{x_i, x_j\} = (q_i - p_j + \gamma_{ij}) x_i x_j & (i < j) \\ \{x_i, y_i\} = q_i y_i x_i + \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} (q_k - p_k) y_k x_k & (\textit{all } i) \end{array} \tag{2.1}$$

The Poisson algebra $\mathbf{k}[y_1, x_1, \cdots, y_n, x_n]$ *is denoted by* $A_{n,\Gamma}^{P,Q}$ *or by* A_n *unless any confusion arises.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{k}[h]$ be the polynomial ring and let A be the $\mathbf{k}[h]$-algebra generated by $y'_1, x'_1, \cdots, y'_n, x'_n$ subject to the following relations:

$$\begin{array}{ll} y'_i y'_j - y'_j y'_i = \gamma_{ij} h y'_j y'_i & (\text{all } i, j) \\ x'_i y'_j - y'_j x'_i = (p_j - \gamma_{ij}) h y'_j x'_i & (i < j) \\ y'_i x'_j - x'_j y'_i = -(q_i + \gamma_{ij}) h x'_j y'_i & (i < j) \\ x'_i x'_j - x'_j x'_i = (q_i - p_j + \gamma_{ij}) h x'_j x'_i & (i < j) \\ x'_i y'_i - y'_i x'_i = q_i h y'_i x'_i + \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} (q_k - p_k) h y'_k x'_k & (\text{all } i) \end{array}$$

Then h is not a zero divisor of A and $A_n = A/hA$ is the commutative polynomial ring $\mathbf{k}[y_1, x_1, \cdots, y_n, x_n]$, where

$$y_i = y'_i + hA, \quad x_i = x'_i + hA$$

for all $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$. Moreover, the algebra A_n has the Poisson bracket (2.1) by [1, III.5.4]. It completes the proof. □

Remark 2.2. Set

$$A_0 = \mathbf{k}, \qquad A_j = \mathbf{k}[y_1, x_1, \cdots, y_j, x_j] \subseteq A_{n,\Gamma}^{P,Q}$$

for each $j = 0, 1, \cdots, n$. Then each A_j is a Poisson subalgebra of $A_{n,\Gamma}^{P,Q}$ and $A_j = A_{j-1}[y_j, x_j]$ for each j, and thus, by Theorem 1.1, there exist Poisson derivations α_j, β_j and a derivation δ_j such that A_j can be presented by

$$A_j = A_{j-1}[y_j; \alpha_j]_p[x_j; \beta_j, \delta_j]_p,$$

where

$$\begin{array}{lll} \alpha_j(y_i) = \gamma_{ij} y_i, & \alpha_j(x_i) = (p_j - \gamma_{ij}) x_i & (i < j) \\ \beta_j(y_i) = -(q_i + \gamma_{ij}) y_i, & \beta_j(x_i) = (q_i - p_j + \gamma_{ij}) x_i & (i < j) \\ \delta_j(y_i) = 0, & \delta_j(x_i) = 0 & (i < j) \\ \beta_j(y_j) = -q_j y_j & \delta_j(y_j) = -\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} (q_k - p_k) y_k x_k. & \end{array} \tag{2.2}$$

Set

$$\Omega_0 = 0, \qquad \Omega_j = \sum_{k=1}^{j}(q_k - p_k)y_kx_k$$

for all $j = 1, \cdots, n-1$, and note that

$$\alpha_j\beta_j = \beta_j\alpha_j, \quad \{a, \Omega_{j-1}\} = (\alpha_j + \beta_j)(a)\Omega_{j-1}$$

for all $a \in A_{j-1}$. Hence we have $A_j = (A_{j-1}; \alpha_j, \beta_j, -q_j, -\Omega_{j-1})$ by Lemma 1.4 and so the Poisson algebra $A_n = A_{n,\Gamma}^{P,Q}$ has the chain of Poisson subalgebras

$$A_0 = \mathbf{k} \subseteq A_1 = (A_0; \alpha_1, \beta_1, -q_1, 0) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq A_n = (A_{n-1}; \alpha_n, \beta_n, -q_n, -\Omega_{n-1}).$$

Lemma 2.3. *As in Remark 2.2, set*

$$\Omega_i = \sum_{k=1}^{i}(q_k - p_k)y_kx_k \in A_n = A_{n,\Gamma}^{P,Q}$$

for each $i = 1, \cdots, n$ and $\Omega_0 = 0$.

(a) For any Ω_j,

$$\begin{array}{lll} \{y_i, \Omega_j\} = -q_iy_i\Omega_j, & \{x_i, \Omega_j\} = q_ix_i\Omega_j, & (i \le j) \\ \{y_i, \Omega_j\} = -p_iy_i\Omega_j, & \{x_i, \Omega_j\} = p_ix_i\Omega_j, & (i > j) \\ \{\Omega_i, \Omega_j\} = 0, & & (\textit{all } i, j) \end{array}$$

(b) We have the following relations:

$$\Omega_{i-1} = \{x_i, y_i\} - q_iy_ix_i, \quad \Omega_i = \{x_i, y_i\} - p_iy_ix_i \tag{2.3}$$

Hence, y_i and x_i are Poisson normal modulo $\langle\Omega_i\rangle$ and $\langle\Omega_{i-1}\rangle$.

Proof. The formulas of (a) follow from (2.1) and the formulas of (b) follow immediately since $\Omega_i = (q_i - p_i)y_ix_i + \Omega_{i-1}$ and $\{x_i, y_i\} = q_iy_ix_i + \Omega_{i-1}$. $\square$

Definition 2.4. [13, Definition 1.4] Let $\mathcal{P}_n = \{\Omega_1, y_1, x_1, \cdots, \Omega_n, y_n, x_n\} \subseteq A_n$. A subset T of $\mathcal{P}_n$ is said to be *admissible* if it satisfies the conditions:

(a) y_i or $x_i \in T \Leftrightarrow \Omega_i$ and $\Omega_{i-1} \in T \quad (2 \le i \le n)$
(b) y_1 or $x_1 \in T \Leftrightarrow \Omega_1 \in T$.

Definition 2.5. We define an order relation on the generators of A_n by

$$y_1,\ x_1,\ y_2,\ x_2,\ \cdots,\ y_n,\ x_n.$$

Hence the standard monomials of A_n are of the form $y_1^{r_1}x_1^{s_1}y_2^{r_2}x_1^{s_2}\cdots y_n^{r_n}x_n^{s_n}$, where r_i, s_i are nonnegative integers.

Let T be an admissible set. In order to find a $\mathbf{k}$-basis for $A_n/\langle T\rangle$, we use an argument for a Gröbner-Shirshov basis. Refer to [15] and [9] for further background and terminologies on the Gröbner-Shirshov basis.

Lemma 2.6. *(a) For every admissible set T of A_n, T is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis.*

(b) The algebra $A_n/\langle T\rangle$ has a $\mathbf{k}$-basis consisting of the natural images of all the standard monomials which are not divided by any element in the set

$$\mathcal{A}_T = \{y_i \mid y_i \in T\} \cup \{x_i \mid x_i \in T\} \cup \{y_i x_i \mid \Omega_i \in T, y_i \notin T, x_i \notin T\}.$$

Proof. We use the notation given in [15, 1.2]. By [15, Theorem 1.5], it is enough to show that T is closed under composition. Since the maximal monomial of Ω_i is $y_i x_i$, a composition occurs for the following three cases:

$$\{y_i, \Omega_i\} \subseteq T, \quad \{x_i, \Omega_i\} \subseteq T, \quad \{y_i, x_i, \Omega_i\} \subseteq T.$$

Clearly, all the three cases are closed under composition, hence T is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis and (b) follows immediately from [15, Theorem 1.5] since all standard monomials form a $\mathbf{k}$-basis of A_n. □

Proposition 2.7. *For every admissible set T, the ideal $\langle T\rangle$ is a prime Poisson ideal of A_n.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on n. If $n = 1$ then there are four admissible sets, namely, $\phi, \{y_1, \Omega_1\}, \{x_1, \Omega_1\}$ and $\{y_1, x_1, \Omega_1\}$, which respectively generate the prime Poisson ideals $0, \langle y_1\rangle, \langle x_1\rangle$ and $\langle y_1, x_1\rangle$.

Suppose now that $n > 1$ and that for each $k < n$, if U is an admissible set of A_k then the ideal generated by U is a prime Poisson ideal of A_k. Given an admissible set T of A_n, let $T' = T \cap \mathcal{P}_{n-1}$. Then T' is an admissible set of A_{n-1} and the ideal $\langle T'\rangle$ is a prime Poisson ideal of A_{n-1} by the induction hypothesis. Note that T is one of the following five sets:

$$T',\ T' \cup \{\Omega_n\},\ T' \cup \{y_n, \Omega_n\},\ T' \cup \{x_n, \Omega_n\},\ T' \cup \{y_n, x_n, \Omega_n\}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} A_n/\langle T'\rangle A_n &= A_{n-1}[y_n; \alpha_n]_p[x_n; \beta_n, \delta_n]_p/\langle T'\rangle A_n \\ &\cong (A_{n-1}/\langle T'\rangle, \overline{\alpha}_n, \overline{\beta_n}, -q_n, -\overline{\Omega}_{n-1}) = B \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

by Remark 2.2 and Lemma 1.3, it is enough to prove that the canonical images of the above five sets in B generate prime Poisson ideals in B.

The case $T = T'$: The ideal of B generated by the canonical image of T' is 0 clearly.

The case $T = T' \cup \{\Omega_n\}$: Note that $\Omega_{n-1} \notin T'$ and $\Omega_n = (q_n - p_n)y_n x_n + \Omega_{n-1}$. Since $\Omega_{n-1} \notin \langle T'\rangle$ the canonical image $\overline{\Omega}_{n-1}$ in $A_{n-1}/\langle T'\rangle$ is nonzero and the canonical image of Ω_n in B is $(q_n - p_n)y_n x_n + \overline{\Omega}_{n-1}$, which generates the prime Poisson ideal $\langle y_n x_n + (q_n - p_n)^{-1}\overline{\Omega}_{n-1}\rangle$ in B.

The case $T = T' \cup \{y_n, \Omega_n\}$: Since $\Omega_{n-1} \in T'$, the canonical image of Ω_n in B is $(q_n - p_n)y_n x_n$ and $\{y_n, x_n\} = -q_n y_n x_n$ in B. Hence the ideal of B generated by the canonical images of T in B is $\langle y_n\rangle$ which is a prime Poisson ideal of B.

The case $T = T' \cup \{x_n, \Omega_n\}$: As in the case $T = T' \cup \{y_n, \Omega_n\}$, the ideal of B generated by the canonical image of T is $\langle x_n\rangle$ which is a prime Poisson ideal of B.

The case $T = T' \cup \{y_n, x_n, \Omega_n\}$: Since $\Omega_{n-1} \in T'$, the canonical image of Ω_n in B is $(q_n - p_n)y_nx_n$ and $\{y_n, x_n\} = -q_ny_nx_n$ in B. Hence the ideal of B generated by the canonical images of T in B is $\langle y_n, x_n\rangle$ which is a prime Poisson ideal of B. □

Proposition 2.8. *(a) For every prime Poisson ideal P of A_n, $P \cap \mathcal{P}_n$ is an admissible set.*

(b) For an admissible set T, let

$$pspec_T A_n = \{P \in pspec A_n \mid P \cap \mathcal{P}_n = T\}.$$

Then $pspec A_n$ is the disjoint union of all $pspec_T A_n$, that is,

$$pspec A_n = \biguplus_T pspec_T A_n.$$

Proof. (a) For convenience, set $\Omega_0 = 0$. If $\Omega_i, \Omega_{i-1} \in P$ then $y_ix_i \in P$ by (2.3) and so $y_i \in P$ or $x_i \in P$ since P is a prime ideal. Conversely, if $y_i \in P$ or $x_i \in P$ then $\Omega_i, \Omega_{i-1} \in P$ by (2.3). Hence we have that, for $i \geq 2$, $\Omega_i, \Omega_{i-1} \in P \cap \mathcal{P}_n$ if and only if $y_i \in P \cap \mathcal{P}_n$ or $x_i \in P \cap \mathcal{P}_n$, and that $\Omega_1 \in P \cap \mathcal{P}_n$ if and only if $y_1 \in P \cap \mathcal{P}_n$ or $x_1 \in P \cap \mathcal{P}_n$. It follows that $P \cap \mathcal{P}_n$ is admissible.

(b) It follows immediately from (a). □

Definition 2.9. [13, Definition 3.1] Let T be an admissible set of A_n and let S_T be the subset of $\mathcal{P}_n$ consisting of

$$S_T = (\{y_1, x_1, \cdots, y_n, x_n\} \cap T) \cup \{\Omega_i \mid \Omega_i \in T, y_i \notin T, x_i \notin T\}.$$

We define length(T) to be the number of elements in S_T. Note that the number of elements in S_T is equal to that of $\mathcal{A}_T$ given in Lemma 2.6.

Lemma 2.10. *For any admissible set T of A_n, the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of $A_n/\langle T\rangle$ is equal to $2n -$ length(T).*

Proof. We proceed by induction on n. If $n = 1$ then there are four admissible sets, namely, $\phi, \{y_1, \Omega_1\}, \{x_1, \Omega_1\}, \{y_1, x_1, \Omega_1\}$. Let I be the ideal of A_1 generated by one of the above sets. Then A_1/I is one of the forms $A_1 = \mathbf{k}[y_1, x_1], \mathbf{k}[x_1], \mathbf{k}[y_1], \mathbf{k}$. Hence the conclusion for $n = 1$ is true clearly.

Suppose now that $n > 1$ and that for each $k < n$, if U is an admissible set of A_k then the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of $A_k/\langle U\rangle$ is equal to $2k -$ length(U). Given an admissible set T of A_n, let $T' = T \cap \mathcal{P}_{n-1}$. Then T' is an admissible set of A_{n-1} and the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of $A_{n-1}/\langle T'\rangle$ is equal to $2n - 2 -$ length(T') by the induction hypothesis. Note that T is one of the following five sets:

$$T',\ T' \cup \{\Omega_n\},\ T' \cup \{y_n, \Omega_n\},\ T' \cup \{x_n, \Omega_n\},\ T' \cup \{y_n, x_n, \Omega_n\}.$$

To find the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of $A_n/\langle T\rangle$, we will use (2.4). Note that the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of B is equal to $2n -$ length(T') by [11, Example 3.6]. Let I be the ideal of B generated by the canonical images of the above five sets.

The case $T = T'$: Then $I = 0$, $\text{length}(T) = \text{length}(T')$ and the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of B/I is equal to that of B, which is equal to $2n - \text{length}(T)$.

The case $T = T' \cup \{\Omega_n\}$: By the proof of Proposition 2.7, I is the prime ideal $\langle y_nx_n + (q_n - p_n)^{-1}\overline{\Omega}_{n-1}\rangle$ and $\text{length}(T) = \text{length}(T') + 1$. The Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of B/I is less than or equal to $2n - \text{length}(T') - 1$ by [11, Corollary 3.16] and larger than or equal to $2n - \text{length}(T') - 1$ since the subalgebra $(A_{n-1}/\langle T'\rangle)[y_n]$ of B has the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension $2n - \text{length}(T') - 1$ and the canonical map $(A_{n-1}/\langle T'\rangle)[y_n] \longrightarrow B/I$ is injective. Hence the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of B/I is equal to $2n - \text{length}(T)$.

The case $T = T' \cup \{y_n, \Omega_n\}$: By the proof of Proposition 2.7, $I = \langle y_n\rangle$ and $B/I \cong (A_{n-1}/\langle T'\rangle)[x_n]$ has the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension $2n - \text{length}(T)$ since $\text{length}(T) = \text{length}(T') + 1$.

The case $T = T' \cup \{x_n, \Omega_n\}$: By the proof of Proposition 2.7, $I = \langle x_n\rangle$ and $B/I \cong (A_{n-1}/\langle T'\rangle)[y_n]$ has the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension $2n - \text{length}(T)$ since $\text{length}(T) = \text{length}(T') + 1$.

The case $T = T' \cup \{y_n, x_n, \Omega_n\}$: By the proof of Proposition 2.7, $I = \langle y_n, x_n\rangle$ and $B/I \cong (A_{n-1}/\langle T'\rangle)$ has the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension $2n - \text{length}(T)$ since $\text{length}(T) = \text{length}(T') + 2$.

□

3. K-ACTIONS ON $A_{n,\Gamma}^{P,Q}$

In this section, we will show that every K-prime Poisson ideal of $A_{n,\Gamma}^{P,Q}$ is generated by an admissible set. The statements and proofs of this section are modified from those of [8, §3].

Definition 3.1. Let

$$K = \{(h_1, h_2, \cdots, h_{2n-1}, h_{2n}) \in \mathbf{k}^{2n} \mid h_{2i-1} + h_{2i} = h_{2j-1} + h_{2j} \text{ for all } i, j = 1, \cdots, n\}.$$

The additive group K acts on A_n as follows:

$$(h_1, h_2, \cdots, h_{2n-1}, h_{2n})(f) = \sum_i (h_{2i-1}y_i\frac{\partial f}{\partial y_i} + h_{2i}x_i\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i})$$

for all elements $f \in A_n$. Note that each element of K acts on A_n by a Poisson derivation.

Let A be a Poisson algebra and let an additive group H act on A by Poisson derivations. A proper Poisson ideal Q of A is said to be H-prime Poisson ideal if Q is H-stable such that whenever I, J are H-stable Poisson ideals of A with $IJ \subseteq Q$, either $I \subseteq Q$ or $J \subseteq Q$. A Poisson algebra A is said to be H-simple if 0 and A are the only H-stable Poisson ideals of A.

Lemma 3.2. *Let A be a Poisson algebra and let α be a Poisson derivation on A. Suppose that H acts on $A[x^{\pm 1}; \alpha]_p$ so that x is an H-eigenvector and A is both H-stable and H-simple, where H acts on A by restriction. If H*

contains a Poisson derivation g such that $g|_A = \alpha$ and $g(x) = cx$ for some $0 \neq c \in \mathbf{k}$ then $A[x^{\pm 1}; \alpha]_p$ is H-simple.

Proof. Let I be a nonzero proper H-Poisson ideal of $A[x^{\pm 1}; \alpha]_p$. Then choose $0 \neq a \in I$, of shortest length with respect to x, say $a = a_k x^k + \cdots + a_m x^m$ for some $k \leq m$, where $a_i \in A$ for each i and $a_k, a_m \neq 0$. Since x is unit and $A \cap I = 0$, we may assume that $k = 0$ and $a = a_0 + \cdots + a_m x^m$, where $m > 0$ and $a_0, a_m \neq 0$. Set $J = \{r \in A \mid r + r_1 x + \cdots + r_m x^m \in I$ for some $r_1, \cdots, r_m \in A\}$ and note that J is a Poisson ideal of A. Given any $h \in H$, let λ_h be the h-eigenvalue of x. Since I is H-stable, $h(r + r_1 x + \cdots + r_m x^m) = h(r) + (h(r_1) + \lambda_h r_1)x + \cdots + (h(r_m) + m\lambda_h r_m)x^m \in I$, and so $h(r) \in J$. Hence J is an H-Poisson ideal of A, and thus either $J = 0$ or $J = A$; by our choice of a, $1 \in J$. Thus we may assume that $a = 1 + a_1 x + \cdots, a_m x^m$. Since I is H-stable, $g(a) = (g(a_1) + ca_1)x + \cdots + (g(a_m) + mca_m)x^m \in I$, which has the length less than a, hence $g(a) = 0$ and $g(a_i) + ica_i = \alpha(a_i) + ica_i = 0$ for each $i = 1, \cdots, m$. Now, $\{a, x\} = \alpha(a_1)x^2 + \cdots + \alpha(a_m)x^{m+1}$ is an element of I with the length less than a. Hence $\alpha(a_i) = 0$ and thus $a_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, \cdots, m$. It follows that $a = 1 \in I$, a contradiction. As a result, $A[x^{\pm 1}; \alpha]_p$ is H-simple. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let $B = A[y; \alpha]_p[x; \beta]_p$, where A is a prime Poisson algebra and both α and β are Poisson derivations, such that $\beta(A) \subseteq A$ and $\beta(y) = cy$ for some $c \in \mathbf{k}$, and that H is a group of Poisson derivations on B such that A is H-stable and y, x are H-eigenvectors. If there exist $f, g \in H$ such that $f|_A = \alpha$ with $f(y) = ay$ and $g|_{A[y;\alpha]_p} = \beta$ with $g(x) = bx$ for some $a, b \in \mathbf{k}^\times$, and if A is H-simple, then*

(a) *$B[y^{-1}][x^{-1}], B/\langle y, x\rangle, (B/\langle y\rangle)[x^{-1}]$, and $(B/\langle x\rangle)[y^{-1}]$ are H-simple.*
(b) *B has only four H-prime Poisson ideals $0, \langle y\rangle, \langle x\rangle, \langle y, x\rangle$.*

Proof. (a) Note that

$$B[y^{-1}] = A[y^{\pm 1}; \alpha]_p[x; \beta]_p, \quad B[y^{-1}][x^{-1}] = A[y^{\pm 1}; \alpha]_p[x^{\pm 1}; \beta]_p.$$

By Lemma 3.2, $A[y^{\pm 1}; \alpha]_p$ is H-simple. Now apply Lemma 3.2 twice to obtain that $B[y^{-1}][x^{-1}] = A[y^{\pm 1}; \alpha]_p[x^{\pm 1}; \beta]_p$ is H-simple.

Since $B/\langle y, x\rangle \cong_H A$, it follows that $B/\langle y, x\rangle$ is H-simple. Next, the Poisson algebra $(B/\langle y\rangle)[x^{-1}] \cong_H A[x^{\pm 1}; \beta]_p$ is H-simple by Lemma 3.2. Analogously, $(B/\langle x\rangle)[y^{-1}] \cong_H A[y^{\pm 1}; \alpha]_p$ is H-simple.

(b) Clearly, $0, \langle y\rangle, \langle x\rangle, \langle y, x\rangle$ are all H-prime Poisson ideals. Suppose that P is a nonzero H-prime Poisson ideal of B. The extended ideal $P^e = PB[y^{-1}][x^{-1}]$ contains the multiplicative identy because $B[y^{-1}][x^{-1}]$ is H-simple. Thus, $y^i x^j \in P$ for some i, j and thus P contains y or x since $\langle y\rangle$ and $\langle x\rangle$ are both H-stable Poisson ideals of B. If $x \in P$ then $P/\langle x\rangle$ is an H-prime Poisson ideal of $B/\langle x\rangle$, and thus $P = \langle x\rangle$ or $P = \langle x, y\rangle$ since $(B/\langle x\rangle)[y^{-1}]$ is H-simple. Analogously, if P contains y then $P = \langle y\rangle$ or $P = \langle x, y\rangle$. As a result, B has only four H-prime Poisson ideals $0, \langle y\rangle, \langle x\rangle, \langle y, x\rangle$. □

Lemma 3.4. *Let* $B = (A; \alpha, \beta, c, u) = A[y; \alpha]_p[x; \beta', \delta]_p$ *be the Poisson algebra given in Proposition 1.4. Assume, in addition, that* A *is a prime Poisson algebra,* $\alpha(u) = du$, $\beta(u) = -du$ *for some* $d \in \mathbf{k}$ *with* $c + d \neq 0$ *and* $0 \neq \delta(y) = u \in A$ *is Poisson normal in* B. *Set* $z = (c + d)yx + \delta(y)$. *Let* H *be a group of Poisson derivations on* B *such that* A *is* H*-stable and* y, x *and* z *are* H*-eigenvectors. Suppose that there exist* $f, g \in H$ *such that* $f|_A = \alpha$ *with* $f(y) = ay$ *for some* $a \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ *and* $g|_{A[y;\alpha]_p} = \beta'$ *with* $g(y^{-1}z) = by^{-1}z$ *for some* $b \in \mathbf{k}^\times$. *If* A *is* H*-simple, then*

(a) $\delta(y)$ *is invertible in* B.
(b) *no proper* H*-stable Poisson ideal of* B *contains a power of* y.
(c) $B[y^{-1}][z^{-1}]$, $B[z^{-1}]$ *and* $B/\langle z\rangle$ *are* H*-simple.*
(d) *the only* H*-prime Poisson ideals of* B *are* 0 *and* $\langle z\rangle$.

Proof. (a) Since $\delta(y) = \{y, x\} - cyx$ is H-eigenvector and Poisson normal, $\langle\delta(y)\rangle$ is an H-stable Poisson ideal of B. Thus $I = \langle\delta(y)\rangle \cap A$ is a nonzero H-stable Poisson ideal of A, and hence $1 \in I$ since A is H-simple. In particular, $1 \in \langle\delta(y)\rangle$ and so $\delta(y)B = \langle\delta(y)\rangle = B$. Consequently, $\delta(y)$ is invertible in B.

(b) Suppose that P is a proper H-Poisson ideal of B such that $y^j \in P$ for some $j > 0$. Whenever $y^j \in P$ for some $j > 0$, we have that

$$jy^{j-1}\delta(y) = \delta(y^j) = \{y^j, x\} - \beta'(y^j)x = \{y^j, x\} - jcy^jx \in P,$$

and hence $y^{j-1} \in P$ since $\delta(y)$ is invertible in B by (a). The repeated applications of the above argument guarantee that $y \in P$. Therefore $\delta(y) = \{y, x\} - cyx \in P$, and thus no proper H-Poisson ideal contains a power of y since $\delta(y)$ is invertible in B by (a).

(c) By Lemma 1.7 (b), $B[y^{-1}] = A[y^{\pm 1}; \alpha]_p[y^{-1}z; \beta']_p$. Note that

$$B[y^{-1}][z^{-1}] = A[y^{\pm 1}; \alpha]_p[(y^{-1}z)^{\pm 1}; \beta']_p, \quad g|_{A[y^{\pm 1};\alpha]_p} = \beta'.$$

Applying Lemma 3.2 yields that both $A[y^{\pm 1}; \alpha]_p$ and $A[y^{\pm 1}; \alpha]_p[(y^{-1}z)^{\pm 1}; \beta']_p$ are H-simple, so $B[y^{-1}][z^{-1}]$ is H-simple.

Let P be an H-prime Poisson ideal of $B[z^{-1}]$. Then P is induced from an H-prime Poisson ideal $\check{P}$ of B disjoint from $\{z^j \mid j = 0, 1, \cdots\}$. By (b), $\check{P}$ contains no y^j. Suppose that $\check{P}$ contains some y^iz^j. Since z and y are Poisson normal and H-eigenvectors by Lemma 1.7 and the hypothesis, we have that $y^i \in \check{P}$ or $z^j \in \check{P}$, a contradiction. Thus $\check{P}$ is disjoint from the multiplicative set generated by y and z. Hence the extension $\check{P}^e$ to $B[y^{-1}][z^{-1}]$ is an H-prime Poisson ideal. Since $B[y^{-1}][z^{-1}]$ is H-simple, $\check{P}^e = 0$, and so $\check{P} = 0$, so $P = 0$. Thus $B[z^{-1}]$ contains no nonzero H-prime Poisson ideals.

If I is a proper H-Poisson ideal of $B[z^{-1}]$ then I is contained in a prime Poisson ideal P of $B[z^{-1}]$ by Lemma 1.8. Set $Q = (P : H)$ the largest H-stable Poisson ideal contained in P. If I and J are H-stable Poisson ideals such that $IJ \subseteq Q$ then either $I \subseteq P$ or $J \subseteq P$, and thus either $I \subseteq Q$ or $J \subseteq Q$. It follows that Q is an H-prime Poisson ideal such that $I \subseteq Q \subseteq P$.

Since $B[z^{-1}]$ does not have a nonzero H-prime Poisson ideal, we have that $I = Q = 0$. Hence, $B[z^{-1}]$ is H-simple.

Note that $\langle z\rangle$ is a Poisson ideal of B since z is Poisson normal by Lemma 1.7, and $zB[y^{-1}]$ is also a Poisson ideal of $B[y^{-1}]$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}(B/\langle z\rangle)[y^{-1}] &\cong_H B[y^{-1}]/(zB[y^{-1}])\\ &= A[y^{\pm 1};\alpha]_p[y^{-1}z;\beta']_p/(zA[y^{\pm 1};\alpha]_p[y^{-1}z;\beta']_p)\\ &\cong_H A[y^{\pm 1};\alpha]_p.\end{aligned}$$

Thus $(B/\langle z\rangle)[y^{-1}]$ is H-simple by Lemma 3.2. Denote by $\overline{b}$ the canonical homomorphic image of $b \in B$ in $B/\langle z\rangle$. Since $\overline{yx} = -(c+d)^{-1}\overline{\delta(y)}$ and $\delta(y)$ is invertible in A by (a), $\overline{y}$ is invertible in $B/\langle z\rangle$, and thus $B/\langle z\rangle = (B/\langle z\rangle)[y^{-1}]$ is H-simple.

(d) Clearly 0 is an H-prime Poisson ideal of B since B is a prime Poisson algebra. Further, $\langle z\rangle$ is H-stable and prime Poisson since z is an H-eigenvector and Poisson normal in B. Now, let P be an H-prime Poisson ideal of B. If P contains no z^i then P extends to an H-prime Poisson ideal $\check{P}$ of $B[z^{-1}]$. Since $B[z^{-1}]$ is H-simple by (c), $\check{P} = 0$, and so $P = 0$. Assume that P contains some z^i. Then $z \in P$ since $\langle z\rangle$ is an H-stable Poisson ideal and P is an H-prime Poisson ideal. Thus 0 and $\langle z\rangle$ are the only H-prime Poisson ideals of B since $B/\langle z\rangle$ is H-simple by (c). □

Definition 3.5. Given an admissible set T of A_n, let N_T be the subset of $\mathcal{P}_n$ defined by

(a) $y_1 \in N_T$ if and only if $y_1 \notin T$
(b) $x_1 \in N_T$ if and only if $x_1 \notin T$
(c) for $i > 1$, $\Omega_i \in N_T$ if and only if $\Omega_{i-1} \notin T$ and $\Omega_i \notin T$
(d) for $i > 1$, $y_i \in N_T$ if and only if $\Omega_{i-1} \in T$ and $y_i \notin T$
(e) for $i > 1$, $x_i \in N_T$ if and only if $\Omega_{i-1} \in T$ and $x_i \notin T$

Theorem 3.6. *For an admissible set T, let E_T be the multiplicative set generated by N_T.*

(a) $E_T \cap \langle T\rangle = \phi$.
(b) $A_n^T = (A_n/\langle T\rangle)[E_T^{-1}]$ *is H-simple.*

Proof. (a) It follows immediately from Lemma 2.6 (b).

(b) We proceed by induction on n. Let $n = 1$ and we will apply Lemma 3.3(a). By Remark 2.2, $A_1 = (\mathbf{k}, 0, 0, -q_1, 0) = \mathbf{k}[y_1; 0]_p[x_1, \beta_1]_p$, where $\beta_1(y_1) = -q_1y_1$, and consider $f = (1, 1), g = (-q_1, 1) \in K$. Then g acts as β_1 on A_1 and $g(x) = x$. There are four possible cases for T:

$$\phi, \{y_1, \Omega_1\}, \{x_1, \Omega_1\}, \{y_1, x_1, \Omega_1\}.$$

Hence A_1^T is one of the forms $A_1[y^{-1}][x^{-1}]$, $(A_1/\langle y_1\rangle)[x_1^{-1}]$, $(A_1/\langle x_1\rangle)[y_1^{-1}]$, $A_1/\langle y_1, x_1\rangle$. Applying Lemma 3.3(a), A_1^T is H-simple.

Suppose that $n > 1$ and A_{n-1}^S is K-simple for any admissible set $S \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{n-1}$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} &A_n = A_{n-1}[y_n;\alpha_n]_p[x_n;\beta_n,\delta_n]_p = (A_{n-1};\alpha_n,\beta_n,-q_n,-\Omega_{n-1})\\ &\alpha_n(-\Omega_{n-1}) = p_n(-\Omega_{n-1}), \quad \beta_n(-\Omega_{n-1}) = -p_n(-\Omega_{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

by Remark 2.2 and Lemma 2.3. Given an admissible set T of A_n, set $T' = T \cap \mathcal{P}_{n-1}$ and let I be the ideal of A_{n-1} generated by T'. Then, since I is $\{\alpha_n,\beta_n,\delta_n\}$-stable, Lemma 1.3 gives the following K-equivalence:

$$A_n/IA_n \cong_K (A_{n-1}/I)[y_n;\overline{\alpha}_n]_p[x_n;\overline{\beta}_n,\overline{\delta}_n]_p,$$

where $\overline{\delta}_n = 0$ if $\Omega_{n-1} \in T'$, and thus we have

$$(A_n/IA_n)[E_{T'}^{-1}] \cong_K (A_{n-1}/I)[E_{T'}^{-1}][y_n;\overline{\alpha}_n]_p[x_n;\overline{\beta}_n,\overline{\delta}_n]_p.$$

Set $A = (A_{n-1}/I)[E_{T'}^{-1}]$ and $S = T\backslash T'$. Then $\langle T\rangle = IA_n + \langle S\rangle$ and

$$\begin{aligned} A_n/\langle T\rangle &\cong_K (A_n/IA_n)/(\langle T\rangle/IA_n)\\ A_n/\langle T\rangle[E_{T'}^{-1}] &\cong_K A[y_n;\overline{\alpha}_n]_p[x_n;\overline{\beta}_n,\overline{\delta}_n]_p/\langle S\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Let E be the multiplicative set generated by $N_T\backslash(N_{T'}\cap\mathcal{P}_{n-1})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A_n/\langle T\rangle[E_T^{-1}] &= A_n/\langle T\rangle[E_{T'}^{-1}][E^{-1}]\\ &\cong_K (A[y_n;\overline{\alpha}_n]_p[x_n;\overline{\beta}_n,\overline{\delta}_n]_p/\langle S\rangle)[E^{-1}]. \end{aligned}$$

In order to apply Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4, we will define the necessary elements of K. Set

$$\begin{aligned} f &= (\gamma_{1n}, p_n-\gamma_{1n}, \gamma_{2n}, p_n-\gamma_{2n}, \cdots, \gamma_{n-1,n}, p_n-\gamma_{n-1,n}, 1, p_n-1)\\ g &= (-q_1-\gamma_{1n}, q_1-p_n+\gamma_{1n}, -q_2-\gamma_{2n}, q_2-p_n+\gamma_{2n}, \cdots,\\ &\qquad -q_{n-1}-\gamma_{n-1,n}, q_{n-1}-p_n+\gamma_{n-1,n}, -q_n, q_n-p_n). \end{aligned}$$

Then $f, g \in K$ and $f|_{A_{n-1}} = \alpha_n, f(y_n) = y_n, f(x_n) = (p_n-1)x_n$ and $g|_{A_{n-1}[y_n;\alpha_n]_p} = \beta_n, g(x_n) = (q_n-p_n)x_n$. Note that $(-q_n+p_n)y_nx_n - \Omega_{n-1} = -\Omega_n$ and $g(-y_n^{-1}\Omega_n) = (q_n-p_n)(-y_n^{-1}\Omega_n)$. As defined, 1 and $q_n - p_n$ are nonzero.

There are five possible cases for S:

$$\phi, \quad \{\Omega_n\}, \quad \{y_n,\Omega_n\}, \quad \{x_n,\Omega_n\}, \quad \{y_n,x_n,\Omega_n\}.$$

If $S = \phi$ then $\langle S\rangle = 0$, and if $\Omega_{n-1} \in T'$, then E is generated by y_n and x_n, so that

$$\begin{aligned} A_n^T &\cong_K (A[y_n;\overline{\alpha}_n]_p[x_n;\overline{\beta}_n,\overline{\delta}_n]_p/\langle S\rangle)[E^{-1}]\\ &= (A[y_n;\overline{\alpha}_n]_p[x_n;\overline{\beta}_n]_p)[y_n^{-1}][x_n^{-1}]\\ &= A[y_n^{\pm1};\overline{\alpha}_n]_p[x_n^{\pm1};\overline{\beta}_n]_p. \end{aligned}$$

since $\overline{\delta}_n = 0$. Applying Lemma 3.3 yields that A_n^T is K-simple. If $\Omega_{n-1} \notin T'$ then E is generated by Ω_n and $A_n^T \cong_K (A[y_n;\overline{\alpha}_n]_p[x_n;\overline{\beta}_n,\overline{\delta}_n]_p)[\Omega_n^{-1}]$ is K-simple by Lemma 3.4.

If $S = \{\Omega_n\}$ then $\Omega_{n-1} \notin T'$ and $E = \{1\}$, and so

$$A_n^T \cong_K (A[y_n; \overline{\alpha}_n]_p[x_n; \overline{\beta}_n, \overline{\delta}_n]_p)/\langle \Omega_n \rangle$$

is K-simple by Lemma 3.4.

If $S = \{y_n, \Omega_n\}$ then $\langle S \rangle = \langle y_n \rangle$ and E is generated by x_n. Further $\overline{\delta}_n = 0$ since $\Omega_{n-1} \in T'$ and

$$\begin{aligned} A_n^T &\cong_K (A[y_n; \overline{\alpha}_n]_p[x_n; \overline{\beta}_n, \overline{\delta}_n]_p/\langle S \rangle)[x_n^{-1}] \\ &= (A[y_n; \overline{\alpha}_n]_p[x_n; \overline{\beta}_n]_p/\langle y_n \rangle)[x_n^{-1}] \end{aligned}$$

is K-simple by Lemma 3.3.

If $S = \{x_n, \Omega_n\}$ then $\langle S \rangle = \langle x_n \rangle$ and E is generated by y_n. Moreover $\overline{\delta}_n = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} A_n^T &\cong_K (A[y_n; \overline{\alpha}_n]_p[x_n; \overline{\beta}_n, \overline{\delta}_n]_p/\langle S \rangle)[y_n^{-1}] \\ &= (A[y_n; \overline{\alpha}_n]_p[x_n; \overline{\beta}_n]_p/\langle x_n \rangle)[y_n^{-1}] \end{aligned}$$

is K-simple by Lemma 3.3.

Lastly, if $S = \{y_n, x_n, \Omega_n\}$ then $\Omega_{n-1} \in T'$ and $E = \{1\}$, and so

$$A_n^T \cong_K (A[y_n; \overline{\alpha}_n]_p[x_n; \overline{\beta}_n]_p)/\langle y_n, x_n \rangle$$

is K-simple by Lemma 3.3. Therefore we conclude that A_n^T is K-simple for every admissible set T. □

Lemma 3.7. *Let P be a K-prime Poisson ideal of A_n. Then $T = P \cap \mathcal{P}_n$ is an admissible set.*

Proof. For convenience, set $\Omega_0 = 0$. Suppose that $y_i \in T$, $i = 1, \cdots, n$. Then $\Omega_{i-1} = \{x_i, y_i\} - q_i y_i x_i \in P$ and $\Omega_i = (q_i - p_i) y_i x_i + \Omega_{i-1} \in P$ by Lemma 2.3. It follows that if $y_i \in T$ then $\Omega_i, \Omega_{i-1} \in T$. Similarly, if $x_i \in T$, $i = 1, \cdots, n$ then $\Omega_i, \Omega_{i-1} \in T$. Conversely, suppose that $\Omega_i, \Omega_{i-1} \in T$, $i = 1, \cdots, n$. Then $(q_i - p_i) y_i x_i = \Omega_i - \Omega_{i-1} \in P$. Since y_i and x_i are both K-eigenvectors and Poisson normal modulo $\langle \Omega_{i-1} \rangle$, we have that $\langle y_i, \Omega_{i-1} \rangle$ and $\langle x_i, \Omega_{i-1} \rangle$ are K-stable Poisson ideals and $\langle y_i, \Omega_{i-1} \rangle \langle x_i, \Omega_{i-1} \rangle \subseteq P$, and hence we have $y_i \in P$ or $x_i \in P$. Therefore, if $\Omega_i, \Omega_{i-1} \in T$, $i = 1, \cdots, n$ then $y_i \in T$ or $x_i \in T$. It follows that T is an admissible set of A_n. □

Theorem 3.8. *Every K-prime Poisson ideal of A_n is generated by an admissible set.*

Proof. Let P be a K-prime Poisson ideal of A_n and let $T = P \cap \mathcal{P}_n$. Then T is an admissible set by Lemma 3.7 and $P/\langle T \rangle$ is a K-prime Poisson ideal of $A_n/\langle T \rangle$. By definition, $N_T \cap T = \phi$ and so $N_T \cap P = \phi$, and hence $\overline{N}_T \cap P/\langle T \rangle = \phi$, where each element of $\overline{N}_T$ is Poisson normal in $A_n/\langle T \rangle$. Recalling that $\overline{E}_T$ is the multiplicative set generated by $\overline{N}_T$, we have that $\overline{E}_T \cap P/\langle T \rangle = \phi$. Hence $(P/\langle T \rangle)[\overline{E}_T{}^{-1}]$ is a K-prime Poisson ideal of A_n^T, and so $(P/\langle T \rangle)[\overline{E}_T{}^{-1}] = 0$ since A_n^T is K-simple by Theorem 3.6. Therefore, $P/\langle T \rangle = 0$, so $P = \langle T \rangle$. □

4. A RELATION BETWEEN POISSON SPACES AND QUANTUM SPACES

We set $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^n$, the free abelian group with finite rank n and let $u : \Lambda \times \Lambda :\longrightarrow \mathbf{k}$ be an antisymmetric biadditive map, that is,

$$u(\alpha,\beta) = -u(\beta,\alpha), \quad u(\alpha,\beta+\beta') = u(\alpha,\beta) + u(\alpha,\beta'),$$

for $\alpha,\beta,\beta' \in \Lambda$. Then the group algebra $k\Lambda$ becomes a Poisson algebra with Poisson bracket

$$\{x_\alpha, x_\beta\} = u(\alpha,\beta)x_{\alpha+\beta}.$$

This Poisson algebra is denoted by $\mathbf{k}_u\Lambda$. (See [14, §2].) Set $\Lambda^+ = (\mathbb{Z}^+)^n$ and let $\mathbf{k}_u\Lambda^+$ be the subalgebra of $\mathbf{k}_u\Lambda$ generated by all $x_\alpha, \alpha \in \Lambda^+$. Note that $\mathbf{k}_u\Lambda^+$ is isomorphic to the commutative polynomial ring $\mathbf{k}[x_1, \cdots, x_n]$. Hence $\mathbf{k}[x_1, \cdots, x_n]$ is a Poisson algebra with Poisson bracket

$$\{x_i, x_j\} = u(\epsilon_i, \epsilon_j)x_ix_j$$

for all i,j, where ϵ_i are the standard basis for Λ.

Let $\mathbf{r} = (r_{ij})$ be a skew-symmetric $n \times n$-matrix with entries in $\mathbf{k}$. Then there exists an antisymmetric biadditive map $u : \Lambda \times \Lambda :\longrightarrow \mathbf{k}$ defined by $u(\epsilon_i, \epsilon_j) = r_{ij}$. Hence $\mathbf{k}[x_1, \cdots, x_n]$ is a Poisson algebra with Poisson bracket

$$\{x_i, x_j\} = r_{ij}x_ix_j$$

for all i,j. This Poisson algebra is denoted by $Q(\mathbf{r})$.

Definition 4.1. Let $\mathbf{r}_n$ be the following skew-symmetric $2n \times 2n$-matrix determined by the defining coefficients in $A_n = A^{P,Q}_{n,\Gamma}$ given in Theorem 2.1:

$$\begin{array}{c|ccccccc|}
 & Y_1 & X_1 & Y_2 & X_2 & \cdots & Y_n & X_n \\
\hline
Y_1 & 0 & -q_1 & \gamma_{12} & -(q_1+\gamma_{12}) & \cdots & \gamma_{1n} & -(q_1+\gamma_{1n}) \\
X_1 & q_1 & 0 & p_2-\gamma_{12} & q_1-p_2+\gamma_{12} & \cdots & p_n-\gamma_{1n} & q_1-p_n+\gamma_{1n} \\
Y_2 & -\gamma_{12} & -(p_2-\gamma_{12}) & 0 & -q_2 & \cdots & \gamma_{2n} & -(q_2+\gamma_{2n}) \\
X_2 & q_1+\gamma_{12} & -(q_1-p_2+\gamma_{12}) & q_2 & 0 & \cdots & p_n-\gamma_{2n} & q_2-p_n+\gamma_{2n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
Y_n & -\gamma_{1n} & -(p_n-\gamma_{1n}) & -\gamma_{2n} & -(p_n-\gamma_{2n}) & \cdots & 0 & -q_n \\
X_n & q_1+\gamma_{1n} & -(q_1-p_n+\gamma_{1n}) & q_2+\gamma_{2n} & -(q_2-p_n+\gamma_{2n}) & \cdots & q_n & 0
\end{array}$$

Hence there exists the Poisson algebra $Q(\mathbf{r}_n) = \mathbf{k}[Y_1, X_1, \cdots, Y_n, X_n]$, which is called the Poisson algebra attached to A_n.

Definition 4.2. [8, Definition 1.1] Let $P, Q \in (\mathbf{k})^{\times n}$ such that $P = (p_1, \cdots, p_n)$ and $Q = (q_1, \cdots, q_n)$ where $p_iq_i^{-1}$ is not a root of unity for each $i = 1, \cdots, n$. Further, let $\Gamma = (\gamma_{ij}) \in M_n(\mathbf{k}^\times)$ be a multiplicative skew-symmetric matrix, that is, $\gamma_{ji} = \gamma_{ij}^{-1}$ and $\gamma_{ii} = 1$ for all i,j. Then $K^{P,Q}_{n,\Gamma}$ is the $\mathbf{k}$-algebra generated by $y_1, x_1, \cdots, y_n, x_n$ satisfying the following relations:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
y_iy_j = \gamma_{ij}y_jy_i & (\text{all } i,j) \\
x_iy_j = p_j\gamma_{ij}^{-1}y_jx_i & (i<j) \\
y_ix_j = q_i^{-1}\gamma_{ij}^{-1}x_jy_i & (i<j) \\
x_ix_j = q_ip_j^{-1}\gamma_{ij}x_jx_i & (i<j) \\
x_iy_i = q_iy_ix_i + \sum_{k=1}^{i-1}(q_k-p_k)y_kx_k & (\text{all } i)
\end{array}$$

We simply write K_n for $K_{n,\Gamma}^{P,Q}$ unless any confusion arises.

As in [8, Lemma 2.1 and Definition 2.2], set

$$\Omega_i = \sum_{k=1}^{i} (q_k - p_k) y_k x_k \in K_n$$

for each $i = 1, \cdots, n$ and a subset $T \subseteq \{y_1, x_1, \Omega_1, \cdots, y_n, x_n, \Omega_n\} = \mathcal{P}_n \subseteq K_n$ is said to be an admissible set of K_n if T satisfies the conditions (a) and (b) of Definition 2.4.

Let $\mathbf{s} = (s_{ij})$ be a multiplicative skew-symmetric $n \times n$ matrix over k. Then the multiparameter quantized coordinate ring $R(\mathbf{s})$ of affine n-space is the algebra generated by $x_1, \cdots, x_n$ subject to the relations

$$x_i x_j = s_{ij} x_j x_i.$$

Definition 4.3. [3, (5)] Let $\mathbf{s}_n$ be the following multiplicative skew-symmetric $2n \times 2n$-matrix determined by the defining coefficients in $K_n = K_{n,\Gamma}^{P,Q}$ given in Definition 4.2:

$$\begin{array}{c} \\ Y_1 \\ X_1 \\ Y_2 \\ X_2 \\ \vdots \\ Y_n \\ X_n \end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{ccccccc} Y_1 & X_1 & Y_2 & X_2 & \cdots & Y_n & X_n \end{array} \\
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & q_1^{-1} & \gamma_{12} & q_1^{-1}\gamma_{12}^{-1} & \cdots & \gamma_{1n} & q_1^{-1}\gamma_{1n}^{-1} \\
q_1 & 1 & p_2\gamma_{12}^{-1} & q_1 p_2^{-1}\gamma_{12} & \cdots & p_n\gamma_{1n}^{-1} & q_1 p_n^{-1}\gamma_{1n} \\
\gamma_{12}^{-1} & p_2^{-1}\gamma_{12} & 1 & q_2^{-1} & \cdots & \gamma_{2n} & q_2^{-1}\gamma_{2n}^{-1} \\
q_1\gamma_{12} & q_1^{-1}p_2\gamma_{12}^{-1} & q_2 & 1 & \cdots & p_n\gamma_{2n}^{-1} & q_2 p_n^{-1}\gamma_{2n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\gamma_{1n}^{-1} & p_n^{-1}\gamma_{1n} & \gamma_{2n}^{-1} & p_n^{-1}\gamma_{2n} & \cdots & 1 & q_n^{-1} \\
q_1\gamma_{1n} & q_1^{-1}p_n\gamma_{1n}^{-1} & q_2\gamma_{2n} & q_2^{-1}p_n\gamma_{2n}^{-1} & \cdots & q_n & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\end{array}$$

Note that each entry of $\mathbf{r}_n$ given in Definition 4.1 is the additive form of the corresponding entry of $\mathbf{s}_n$. Since $\mathbf{s}_n$ is a multiplicative skew-symmetric matrix, there exists the multiparameter quantized coordinate ring $R(\mathbf{s}_n)$ of affine $2n$-space, which is called the quantized algebra attached to K_n.

Let I be an ideal of a Poisson algebra A. Denote by $\mathcal{V}_p(I)$ the set of all prime Poisson ideals of A containing I. That is,

$$\mathcal{V}_p(I) = \mathcal{V}(I) \cap \text{pspec } A.$$

Since $J = \cap\mathcal{V}_p(I)$ is a semiprime Poisson ideal and $\mathcal{V}_p(I) = \mathcal{V}_p(J)$, the closed sets of pspec A are exactly the sets $\mathcal{V}_p(I)$ for semiprime Poisson ideals I of A.

Lemma 4.4. *Let A be a finitely generated Poisson algebra. Then the irreducible closed sets of pspec A are exactly the sets $\mathcal{V}_p(P)$ for prime Poisson ideals P.*

Proof. Let P be a prime Poisson ideal of A and let $\mathcal{V}_p(P) = \mathcal{V}_p(I) \cup \mathcal{V}_p(J)$ for some ideals I, J. Then we have

$$P = \cap\mathcal{V}_p(P) = \cap[\mathcal{V}_p(I) \cup \mathcal{V}_p(J)] = \cap\mathcal{V}_p(I \cap J) \supseteq I \cap J \supseteq IJ,$$

and thus P contains I or J, say $I \subseteq P$. Hence we have that $\mathcal{V}_p(P) = \mathcal{V}_p(I)$. It follows that $\mathcal{V}_p(P)$ is irreducible.

Conversely, let $\mathcal{V}_p(P)$ be an irreducible set for some semiprime Poisson ideal P. Since A is noetherian and P is semiprime, $P = Q_1 \cap \cdots \cap Q_n$ an intersection of finitely many prime ideals minimal over P by [5, Theorem 2.4]. Since each $(Q_i : \mathcal{H}(A))$ is a prime Poisson ideal containing P by [14, Lemma 1.3] and Q_i is a prime minimal over P, we have $Q_i = (Q_i : \mathcal{H}(A))$ a prime Poisson ideal. Since $\mathcal{V}_p(P) = \mathcal{V}_p(Q_1 \cap \cdots \cap Q_n) = \cup_i \mathcal{V}_p(Q_i)$ and $\mathcal{V}_p(P)$ is irreducible, we have that $\mathcal{V}_p(P) = \mathcal{V}_p(Q_i)$ for some i. It completes the proof. □

Definition 4.5. Given an admissible set T of A_n (or K_n), set

$\eta(T) = \{Y_i \mid y_i \in T\} \cup \{X_i \mid x_i \in T\} \cup \{X_i \mid \Omega_i \in T, y_i \notin T, x_i \notin T\}$

$\mathcal{Y}_T$ the multiplicative set of A_n (or K_n) generated by $\{y_i \mid y_i \notin T\}$

$\mathcal{U}_T$ the multiplicative set of $Q(\mathbf{r}_n)$ (or $R(\mathbf{s}_n)$) generated by $\{Y_i \mid y_i \notin T\}$.

Note that if $\Omega_i \in T$ then $Y_iX_i \in \langle \eta(T) \rangle$ since $Y_i \in \eta(T)$ or $X_i \in \eta(T)$.

Lemma 4.6. *For admissible sets T and T', $T \neq T' \Leftrightarrow \eta(T) \neq \eta(T')$.*

Proof. ($\Rightarrow$) Let $T \neq T'$. If there exists Ω_i such that $\Omega_i \in T$ and $\Omega_i \notin T'$, or $\Omega_i \notin T$ and $\Omega_i \in T'$, say $\Omega_i \in T$ and $\Omega_i \notin T'$, then $Y_i \in \eta(T)$ or $X_i \in \eta(T)$, but $\eta(T')$ contains neither Y_i nor X_i, and so $\eta(T) \neq \eta(T')$. Hence we suppose that $T \cap \{\Omega_1, \cdots, \Omega_n\} = T' \cap \{\Omega_1, \cdots, \Omega_n\}$. If $T \cap \{y_1, \cdots, y_n\} \neq T' \cap \{y_1, \cdots, y_n\}$ then $\eta(T) \neq \eta(T')$ clearly, and if $T \cap \{y_1, \cdots, y_n\} = T' \cap \{y_1, \cdots, y_n\}$ then there exists x_i such that one of T and T' contains x_i and the other does not contain x_i, say $x_i \in T$ and $x_i \notin T'$. If $i = 1$ then $X_1 \in \eta(T)$ and $X_1 \notin \eta(T')$, and so $\eta(T) \neq \eta(T')$. Assume that $i > 1$. Then $\Omega_{i-1} \in T$ and so $\Omega_{i-1} \in T'$, and hence $y_i \in T'$ since $\Omega_i \in T'$ and $x_i \notin T'$. It follows that $X_i \in \eta(T)$ and $X_i \notin \eta(T')$, and thus $\eta(T) \neq \eta(T')$.

($\Leftarrow$) If $T = T'$ then $\eta(T) = \eta(T')$ clearly. Therefore, if $\eta(T) \neq \eta(T')$ then we have that $T \neq T'$. □

Lemma 4.7. *Let T be an admissible set of A_n.*

(a) The map $\Psi'_T : (A_n/\langle T \rangle)[\overline{\mathcal{Y}_T}^{-1}] \longrightarrow (Q(\mathbf{r}_n)/\langle \eta(T) \rangle)[\overline{\mathcal{U}_T}^{-1}]$ defined by

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\Psi'_T(\overline{y}_i) = \overline{Y}_i & (\textit{all } i) \\
\Psi'_T(\overline{x}_1) = \overline{X}_1 & (i = 1) \\
\Psi'_T(\overline{x}_i) = \overline{X}_i - (\hat{q}_i - \hat{p}_i)\overline{Y}_i^{-1}\overline{Y}_{i-1}\overline{X}_{i-1} & (i \geq 2, y_i \notin T, x_i \notin T, \Omega_{i-1} \notin T) \\
\Psi'_T(\overline{x}_i) = \overline{X}_i & (i \geq 2, x_i \notin T, \Omega_{i-1} \in T) \\
\Psi'_T(\overline{x}_i) = -(\hat{q}_i - \hat{p}_i)\overline{Y}_i^{-1}\overline{Y}_{i-1}\overline{X}_{i-1} & (i \geq 2, y_i \notin T, x_i \notin T, \Omega_i \in T)
\end{array}$$

is a Poisson isomorphism, where $(\hat{q}_i - \hat{p}_i) = (q_i - p_i)^{-1}(q_{i-1} - p_{i-1})$ for each $i = 2, \cdots, n$. Moreover $\Psi'_T(\overline{\mathcal{Y}}_T) = \overline{\mathcal{U}}_T$, $\Psi'_T(\overline{\Omega}_i) = (q_i - p_i)\overline{Y}_i\overline{X}_i$ for all i and if $f \in A_n$ and T' is an admissible set such that $T \subseteq T'$ then $\Psi'_T(f)$ is congruent to $\Psi'_{T'}(f)$ modulo the ideal of $(Q(\mathbf{r}_n)/\langle \eta(T') \rangle)[\overline{\mathcal{U}_{T'}}^{-1}]$ generated by $\eta(T')$.

(b) Set

$$\begin{aligned} spec\ {}_T A_n &= \{P \in spec\ A_n \mid P \cap \mathcal{P}_n = T\} \\ spec\ {}_{\eta(T)} Q(\mathbf{r}_n) &= \{P \in spec\ Q(\mathbf{r}_n) \mid P \cap \{Y_1, X_1, \cdots, Y_n, X_n\} = \eta(T)\} \\ pspec\ {}_{\eta(T)} Q(\mathbf{r}_n) &= \{P \in pspec\ Q(\mathbf{r}_n) \mid P \cap \{Y_1, X_1, \cdots, Y_n, X_n\} = \eta(T)\}. \end{aligned}$$

For every $P \in spec_T A_n$, there exists a unique element $\Psi_T(P)$ of $spec_{\eta(T)} Q(\mathbf{r}_n)$ such that $\Psi'_T((P/\langle T\rangle)^e) = (\Psi_T(P)/\langle \eta(T)\rangle)^e$, and conversely, for each $Q \in spec\ {}_{\eta(T)} Q(\mathbf{r}_n)$, there exists a unique $P \in spec\ {}_T A_n$ such that $\Psi'_T((P/\langle T\rangle)^e) = (Q/\langle \eta(T)\rangle)^e$. Moreover, the map

$$\Psi_T : pspec_T A_n \longrightarrow pspec_{\eta(T)} Q(\mathbf{r}_n), \quad P \mapsto \Psi_T(P)$$

is a homeomorphism, if P and Q are prime ideals of A_n such that $P \subseteq Q$, $P \cap \mathcal{P}_n = T, Q \cap \mathcal{P}_n = T'$ then $T \subseteq T'$ and $\Psi_T(P) \subseteq \Psi_{T'}(Q)$, and $P \in pspec_T A_n$ is symplectic if and only if $\Psi_T(P)$ is symplectic.

(c) The map $\Psi : pspec A_n = \biguplus pspec_T A_n \longrightarrow \biguplus pspec_{\eta(T)} Q(\mathbf{r}_n)$ defined by $\Psi(P) = \Psi_T(P)$ for $P \in pspec_T A_n$ is a homeomorphism such that its restriction $\Psi|_{symp A_n} : symp A_n \longrightarrow \Psi(symp A_n)$ is also a homeomorphism.

Proof. (a) Define an algebra homomorphism

$$\psi_T : A_n \longrightarrow (Q(\mathbf{r}_n)/\langle \eta(T)\rangle)[\overline{\mathcal{U}_T}^{-1}]$$

by

$$\begin{array}{lll} & \psi_T(y_i) = \overline{Y}_i & (\text{all } i) \\ (4.1) & \psi_T(x_i) = \overline{X}_i - (\hat{q}_i - \hat{p}_i)\overline{Y}_i^{-1}\overline{Y}_{i-1}\overline{X}_{i-1} & (i \geq 2, y_i \notin T) \\ & \psi_T(x_i) = \overline{X}_i & (i \geq 2, y_i \in T) \\ & \psi_T(x_1) = \overline{X}_1 & (i = 1) \end{array}$$

where $(\hat{q}_i - \hat{p}_i) = (q_i - p_i)^{-1}(q_{i-1} - p_{i-1})$ for each $i = 2, \cdots, n$. It is checked by a straight calculation that ψ_T is a Poisson homomorphism.

We will prove that $\psi_T(\Omega_i) = (q_i - p_i)\overline{Y}_i\overline{X}_i$ for all $i = 1, \cdots, n$ using the induction on i. If $i = 1$ then $\psi(\Omega_1) = (q_1 - p_1)\overline{Y}_1\overline{X}_1$ clearly. Suppose now that $i > 1$ and that $\psi_T(\Omega_j) = (q_j - p_j)\overline{Y}_j\overline{X}_j$ for each $j < i$. If $y_i \notin T$ then, by the induction hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_T(\Omega_i) &= \psi_T((q_i - p_i)y_i x_i + \Omega_{i-1}) \\ &= (q_i - p_i)\overline{Y}_i(\overline{X}_i - (\hat{q}_i - \hat{p}_i)\overline{Y}_i^{-1}\overline{Y}_{i-1}\overline{X}_{i-1}) + (q_{i-1} - p_{i-1})\overline{Y}_{i-1}\overline{X}_{i-1} \\ &= (q_i - p_i)\overline{Y}_i\overline{X}_i. \end{aligned}$$

If $y_i \in T$ then $\Omega_{i-1} \in T$ and thus $Y_{i-1}X_{i-1} \in \langle \eta(T)\rangle$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_T(\Omega_i) &= \psi_T((q_i - p_i)y_i x_i + \Omega_{i-1}) \\ &= (q_i - p_i)\overline{Y}_i\overline{X}_i + (q_{i-1} - p_{i-1})\overline{Y}_{i-1}\overline{X}_{i-1} \\ &= (q_i - p_i)\overline{Y}_i\overline{X}_i. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\psi_T(\Omega_i) = (q_i - p_i)\overline{Y}_i\overline{X}_i$ for all i, as required.

If $\Omega_i \in T$ then $Y_iX_i \in \langle \eta(T)\rangle$, and so $\psi_T(\Omega_i) = 0$ for all $\Omega_i \in T$. Moreover, $\psi_T(x_i) = 0$ for all $x_i \in T$ and $\psi_T(y_i) = 0$ for all $y_i \in T$ clearly. It follows that $\langle T\rangle \subseteq \ker \psi_T$ and thus there exists a Poisson epimorphism $\overline{\psi}_T : (A_n/\langle T\rangle)[\overline{\mathcal{Y}_T}^{-1}] \longrightarrow (Q(\mathbf{r}_n)/\langle \eta(T)\rangle)[\overline{\mathcal{U}_T}^{-1}]$ such that $\overline{\psi}_T(\overline{f}) = \psi_T(f)$ for all $f \in A_n$ since all elements of $\psi_T(\mathcal{Y}_T)$ are invertible.

Let us show that $\overline{\psi}_T = \Psi'_T$. Clearly $\overline{\psi}_T(\overline{y}_i) = \Psi'_T(\overline{y}_i)$ for all i and $\overline{\psi}_T(\overline{x}_1) = \Psi'_T(\overline{x}_1)$. Moreover it is clear that $\overline{\psi}_T(\overline{x}_i) = \Psi'_T(\overline{x}_i)$ for all x_i such that $y_i \notin T$ and $\Omega_{i-1} \notin T$, where $i \geq 2$. If $i \geq 2$ and $y_i \in T$ then $\Omega_{i-1} \in T$ and so $\overline{\psi}_T(\overline{x}_i) = \overline{X}_i = \Psi'_T(\overline{x}_i)$. If $i \geq 2$, $y_i \notin T, x_i \notin T$ and $\Omega_i \in T$ then $\psi_T((q_i - p_i)y_ix_i) = \psi_T(\Omega_i - \Omega_{i-1}) = -(q_{i-1} - p_{i-1})\overline{Y}_{i-1}\overline{X}_{i-1}$ and thus $\overline{\psi}_T(\overline{x}_i) = -(\hat{q}_i - \hat{p}_i)\overline{Y}_i^{-1}\overline{Y}_{i-1}\overline{X}_{i-1} = \Psi'_T(\overline{x}_i)$. Thus $\overline{\psi}_T(\overline{x}_i) = \Psi'_T(\overline{x}_i)$ for all x_i, as required.

Let T' be an admissible set such that $T \subseteq T'$. Then $\Psi'_T(y_i)$ and $\Psi'_T(x_i)$ are congruent to $\Psi'_{T'}(y_i)$ and $\Psi'_{T'}(x_i)$, respectively, modulo the ideal of $(Q(\mathbf{r}_n)/\langle \eta(T')\rangle)[\overline{\mathcal{U}_{T'}}^{-1}]$ generated by $\eta(T')$ since $\Psi'_{T'}(\Omega_i) = (q_i - p_i)\overline{Y}_i\overline{X}_i$, and thus $\Psi'_T(f)$ is congruent to $\Psi'_{T'}(f)$ modulo the ideal generated by $\eta(T')$ for all $f \in A_n$.

Note that the number of elements in $\eta(T)$ is equal to length(T) and thus the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of $(Q(\mathbf{r}_n)/\langle \eta(T)\rangle)[\overline{\mathcal{U}_T}^{-1}]$ is equal to $2n -$ length(T) by [11, Example 3.6 and Proposition 4.2]. By Lemma 2.10 and [11, Proposition 4.2], $(A_n/\langle T\rangle)[\overline{\mathcal{Y}_T}^{-1}]$ has the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension $2n-$length(T). Moreover $\ker \overline{\psi}_T$ is a prime ideal since $(Q(\mathbf{r}_n)/\langle \eta(T)\rangle)[\overline{\mathcal{U}_T}^{-1}]$ is prime, and thus $\overline{\psi}_T = \Psi'_T$ is a Poisson isomorphism by [11, Proposition 3.16].

(b) For every $P \in \text{spec}_T A_n$, the extended ideal $(P/\langle T\rangle)^e$ is a prime ideal of $(A_n/\langle T\rangle)[\overline{\mathcal{Y}_T}^{-1}]$ since $P \cap \mathcal{Y}_T = \phi$, and thus, by (a), there exists a prime ideal $\Psi_T(P)$ of $Q(\mathbf{r}_n)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \eta(T)\rangle \subseteq \Psi_T(P)\\ &\Psi_T(P) \cap \mathcal{U}_T = \phi \\ &\Psi'_T((P/\langle T\rangle)^e) = (\Psi_T(P)/\langle \eta(T)\rangle)^e. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

If $Y_i \notin \eta(T)$ then $Y_i \notin \Psi_T(P)$ by the second formula of (4.2), and thus if $Y_i \in \Psi_T(P)$ then $Y_i \in \eta(T)$. Let $X_i \in \Psi_T(P) - \eta(T)$. Then we have $\Psi'_T(\overline{\Omega}_i) = (q_i - p_i)\overline{Y}_i\overline{X}_i \in (\Psi_T(P)/\langle \eta(T)\rangle)^e$ by (a) and thus $\Omega_i \in P$ by the third formula of (4.2). It follows that $\Omega_i \in T$ and $x_i \notin T$ since $X_i \notin \eta(T)$. If $i = 1$ then $\Psi'_T(\overline{x}_1) = \overline{X}_1$ and if $i > 1$ then $y_i \in T$ by the definition of $\eta(T)$ since $\Omega_i \in T, x_i \notin T, X_i \notin \eta(T)$, and so $\Omega_{i-1} \in T$. Thus $\Psi'_T(\overline{x}_i) = \overline{X}_i \in (\Psi_T(P)/\langle \eta(T)\rangle)^e$ for any i by the definition of Ψ'_T. Hence $x_i \in P$ by the third formula of (4.2) and thus $x_i \in T$ and $X_i \in \eta(T)$, a contradiction. Therefore we have that $\Psi_T(P) \cap \{Y_1, X_1, \cdots, Y_n, X_n\} = \eta(T)$ by the first formula of (4.2). Conversely if $Q \in \text{spec}_{\,\eta(T)}Q(\mathbf{r}_n)$ then there exists a unique element $P \in \text{spec}_{\,T}A_n$ such that $\Psi'_T((P/\langle T\rangle)^e) = (Q/\langle \eta(T)\rangle)^e$ since Ψ'_T is an algebra isomorphism.

Since Ψ'_T is a Poisson isomorphism, we have that $P \in \text{pspec}\ {}_T A_n$ if and only if $\Psi_T(P) \in \text{pspec}\ {}_{\eta(T)} Q(\mathbf{r}_n)$ and the map

$$\Psi_T : \text{pspec}_T A_n \longrightarrow \text{pspec}_{\eta(T)} Q(\mathbf{r}_n), \quad P \mapsto \Psi_T(P)$$

is a homeomorphism. Let P and Q be prime ideals of A_n such that $P \subseteq Q$, $P \cap \mathcal{P}_n = T$ and $Q \cap \mathcal{P}_n = T'$. Then T and T' are admissible sets such that $T \subseteq T'$. Since, for each $f \in A_n$, $\Psi'_T(f)$ is congruent to $\Psi'_{T'}(f)$ modulo the ideal of $Q(\mathbf{r}_n)[\mathcal{U}_{T'}^{-1}]$ generated by $\eta(T')$ by (a), we have that $\Psi_T(P) \subseteq \Psi_{T'}(Q)$.

The fact that $P \in \text{pspec}_T A_n$ is symplectic if and only if $\Psi_T(P)$ is symplectic will be proved after the proof of Theorem 4.10.

(c) By (b), it is clear that Ψ is bijective. For a prime Poisson ideal P of A_n with $P \cap \mathcal{P}_n = T$, let us show that

$$\Psi(\mathcal{V}_p(P)) = \mathcal{V}_p(\Psi_T(P)) \cap \text{Im}\Psi. \tag{4.3}$$

If (4.3) is true then Ψ^{-1} is continuous by Lemma 4.4. Let $Q \in \mathcal{V}_p(P)$ and $Q \cap \mathcal{P}_n = T'$. Then $P \subseteq Q$ and thus $\Psi_T(P) \subseteq \Psi_{T'}(Q) = \Psi(Q)$ by (b). Conversely, let Q be a prime Poisson ideal of A_n such that $Q \cap \mathcal{P}_n = T'$ and $\Psi_{T'}(Q) \in \mathcal{V}_p(\Psi_T(P))$. Then $\langle \eta(T) \rangle \subseteq \Psi_T(P) \subseteq \Psi_{T'}(Q)$ and thus $T \subset Q$. It follows that $T \subseteq T'$ and $\Psi_T(P) \subseteq \Psi_{T'}(Q)$. Therefore $P \subseteq Q$ since $\Psi'_T(f)$ is congruent to $\Psi'_{T'}(f)$ modulo the ideal of $Q(\mathbf{r}_n)[\mathcal{U}_{T'}^{-1}]$ generated by $\eta(T')$ for all $f \in A_n$, and hence $\Psi(\mathcal{V}_p(P)) = \mathcal{V}_p(\Psi_T(P)) \cap \text{Im}\Psi$, as claimed.

For any ideal I of $Q(\mathbf{r}_n)$, we have that $\mathcal{V}_p(I) \cap \text{Im}\Psi = \bigcup_T [\mathcal{V}_p(I) \cap \text{Im}\Psi_T]$ and each set $\mathcal{V}_p(I) \cap \text{Im}\Psi_T$ is a union of the forms $\mathcal{V}_p(Q) \cap \text{Im}\Psi_T$ for some prime Poisson ideals Q of $Q(\mathbf{r}_n)$ with $Q \cap \{Y_1, X_1, \cdots, Y_n, X_n\} = \eta(T)$ by (b) and Lemma 4.4. Hence if we show that $\Psi^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_p(Q)) = \mathcal{V}_p(\Psi_T^{-1}(Q))$ for a prime Poisson ideal Q of $Q(\mathbf{r}_n)$ with $Q \cap \{Y_1, X_1, \cdots, Y_n, X_n\} = \eta(T)$ then Ψ is continuous. By (4.3), we have that

$$\mathcal{V}_p(Q) \cap \text{Im}\Psi = \Psi(\mathcal{V}_p(\Psi_T^{-1}(Q)))$$

for a prime Poisson ideal Q of $Q(\mathbf{r}_n)$ with $Q \cap \{Y_1, X_1, \cdots, Y_n, X_n\} = \eta(T)$, and thus we have that $\Psi^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_p(Q)) = \mathcal{V}_p(\Psi_T^{-1}(Q))$. It completes the proof. □

For a Poisson algebra A, the set

$$Z_p(A) = \{a \in A \mid \{a, A\} = 0\}$$

is said to be a Poisson center of A.

Definition 4.8. [14, Theorem 2.4] A Poisson $\mathbf{k}$-algebra A is said to satisfy the Poisson Dixmier-Moeglin equivalence if the following conditions are equivalent: For a prime Poisson ideal P of A,

(i) P is symplectic (i.e., there exists a maximal ideal M of A such that P is the largest Poisson ideal contained in M).

(ii) P is rational (i.e., the Poisson center of the quotient field of A/P is algebraic over $\mathbf{k}$).

(iii) P is locally closed (i.e., the intersection of all prime Poisson ideals properly containing P is strictly larger than P).

Proposition 4.9. *Let* $\mathbf{r}$ *be a skew-symmetric* $n\times n$*-matrix with entries in* $\mathbf{k}$*. The Poisson algebra* $Q(\mathbf{r})$ *satisfies the Poisson Dixmier-Moeglin equivalence. More precisely,*

$$\begin{aligned}\textit{symp } Q(\mathbf{r}) &= \{\textit{locally closed prime Poisson ideals}\}\\ &= \{\textit{rational prime Poisson ideals}\}\\ &= \bigcup_W \{\textit{maximal elements of pspec }_W Q(\mathbf{r})\},\end{aligned}$$

where $W \subseteq \{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n\}$ *and*

$$\textit{pspec }_W Q(\mathbf{r}) = \{P \in \textit{pspec } Q(\mathbf{r}) \mid P \cap \{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n\} = W\}.$$

Proof. Let P be a prime Poisson ideal of $Q(\mathbf{r})$. If P is locally closed then P is symplectic by [14, Proposition 1.7] since $Q(\mathbf{r})$ is a Jacobson ring, and if P is symplectic then P is rational by [14, Proposition 1.10].

Let P be a rational prime ideal and set $W = P \cap \{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n\}$. Then $Q(\mathbf{r})/\langle W\rangle \cong \mathbf{k}[z_1, \cdots, z_k]$, where $\{z_1, \cdots, z_k\} = \{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n\} - W$, and thus pspec ${}_W Q(\mathbf{r})$ is homeomorphic to pspec $\mathbf{k}[z_1, \cdots, z_k]$ and P corresponds to a rational prime Poisson ideal Q of $\mathbf{k}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \cdots, z_k^{\pm 1}]$. Since Q is a maximal prime Poisson ideal of $\mathbf{k}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \cdots, z_k^{\pm 1}]$ by [14, Corollary 2.3 and Theorem 2.4], P is a maximal element of pspec ${}_W Q(\mathbf{r})$.

If P is a maximal element of pspec ${}_W Q(\mathbf{r})$ and Q is a prime Poisson ideal of $Q(\mathbf{r})$ which contains P properly then Q has an element x_i which is not in P. Hence the intersection of all prime Poisson ideals properly containing P is strictly larger than P. It follows that P is locally closed. □

Theorem 4.10. *The Poisson algebra* A_n *satisfies the Poisson Dixmier-Moeglin equivalence. More precisely,*

$$\begin{aligned}\textit{symp } A_n &= \{\textit{locally closed prime Poisson ideals}\}\\ &= \{\textit{rational prime Poisson ideals}\}\\ &= \bigcup_T \{\textit{maximal elements of pspec }_T A_n\}\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let P be a prime Poisson ideal of A_n. Note that A_n is a Jacobson ring since A_n is a finitely generated commutative ring. If P is locally closed then P is symplectic by [14, Proposition 1.7], and if P is symplectic then P is rational by [14, Proposition 1.10].

Let P be rational and set $T = P \cap \mathcal{P}_n$. Then T is an admissible set by Proposition 2.8 and $\Psi_T(P)$ is a rational prime Poisson ideal of $Q(\mathbf{r}_n)$ such that $\Psi_T(P) \cap \{Y_1, X_1, \cdots, Y_n, X_n\} = \eta(T)$ by Lemma 4.7 (b). Hence $\Psi_T(P)$ is a maximal element of the set pspec ${}_{\eta(T)} Q(\mathbf{r}_n)$ by Proposition 4.9, and thus P is a maximal element of pspec ${}_T A_n$ by Lemma 4.7 (b).

Let P be a maximal element of pspec ${}_T A_n$ and let Q be a prime Poisson ideal of A_n which properly contains P. Then $Q \cap \mathcal{P}_n$ contains properly the admissible set T. Hence the intersection of all prime Poisson ideals Q of A_n properly containing P is strictly larger than P since admissible sets are finite. It follows that P is locally closed. It completes the proof. $\square$

The proof of Lemma 4.7 (b): For any $P \in \mathrm{pspec}_T A_n$, P is symplectic if and only if P is a maximal element of $\mathrm{pspec}_T A_n$ by Theorem 4.10, and $\Psi_T(P)$ is a symplectic ideal of $Q(\mathbf{r}_n)$ if and only if $\Psi_T(P)$ is a maximal element of $\mathrm{pspec}_{\eta(T)} Q(\mathbf{r}_n)$ by Proposition 4.9. Hence P is symplectic if and only if $\Psi_T(P)$ is symplectic since Ψ_T preserves inclusion by Lemma 4.7 (b). $\square$

The map Υ'_T of the following Lemma 4.11 (a) is modified from the map given in [3, Proposition 2.7].

Lemma 4.11. *Let T be an admissible set of $K_n = K^{P,Q}_{n,\Gamma}$.*

(a) The map $\Upsilon'_T : (K^{P,Q}_{n,\Gamma}/\langle T\rangle)[\overline{\mathcal{Y}}_T{}^{-1}] \longrightarrow (R(\mathbf{s}_n)/\langle \eta(T)\rangle)[\overline{\mathcal{U}}_T{}^{-1}]$ defined by

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\Upsilon'_T(\overline{y}_i) = \overline{Y}_i & (\textit{all } i)\\
\Upsilon'_T(\overline{x}_1) = \overline{X}_1 & (i=1)\\
\Upsilon'_T(\overline{x}_i) = \overline{X}_i - (\hat{q}_i - \hat{p}_i)\overline{Y}_i{}^{-1}\overline{Y}_{i-1}\overline{X}_{i-1} & (i \ge 2, y_i \notin T, x_i \notin T, \Omega_{i-1} \notin T)\\
\Upsilon'_T(\overline{x}_i) = \overline{X}_i & (i \ge 2, x_i \notin T, \Omega_{i-1} \in T)\\
\Upsilon'_T(\overline{x}_i) = -(\hat{q}_i - \hat{p}_i)\overline{Y}_i{}^{-1}\overline{Y}_{i-1}\overline{X}_{i-1} & (i \ge 2, y_i \notin T, x_i \notin T, \Omega_i \in T)
\end{array}$$

is an algebra isomorphism, where $(\hat{q}_i - \hat{p}_i) = (q_i - p_i)^{-1}(q_{i-1} - p_{i-1})$ for $i \ge 2$. Moreover $\Upsilon'_T(\overline{\mathcal{Y}}_T) = \overline{\mathcal{U}}_T$, $\Upsilon'_T(\overline{\Omega}_i) = (q_i - p_i)\overline{Y}_i\overline{X}_i$ for all i and $\Upsilon'_T(\overline{x}_i)$ is congruent to $\overline{X}_i$ modulo $\langle \overline{Y}_{i-1}\overline{X}_{i-1}\rangle$ for all $i = 1, \cdots, n$, where $\langle \overline{Y}_0\overline{X}_0\rangle = 0$.

(b) Let $spec_{\eta(T)} R(\mathbf{s}_n)$ be the set of all prime ideals Q of $R(\mathbf{s}_n)$ such that $Q \cap \{Y_1, X_1, \cdots, Y_n, X_n\} = \eta(T)$. For every $P \in spec_T K^{P,Q}_{n,\Gamma}$, there exists a unique prime ideal $\Upsilon_T(P) \in spec_{\eta(T)} R(\mathbf{s}_n)$ such that $\Upsilon'_T(P/\langle T\rangle)^e = (\Upsilon_T(P)/\langle \eta(T)\rangle)^e$. Moreover, the map $\Upsilon_T : spec_T K^{P,Q}_{n,\Gamma} \longrightarrow spec_{\eta(T)} R(\mathbf{s}_n)$ is a homeomorphism, if P and Q are prime ideals of $K^{P,Q}_{n,\Gamma}$ such that $P \subseteq Q$, $P \cap \mathcal{P}_n = T$, $Q \cap \mathcal{P}_n = T'$ then $T \subseteq T'$ and $\Upsilon_T(P) \subseteq \Upsilon_{T'}(Q)$, and $P \in spec_T K^{P,Q}_{n,\Gamma}$ is primitive if and only if $\Upsilon_T(P)$ is primitive.

(c) The map $\Upsilon : spec K^{P,Q}_{n,\Gamma} = \biguplus_T spec_T K^{P,Q}_{n,\Gamma} \longrightarrow \biguplus spec_{\eta(T)} R(\mathbf{s}_n)$ defined by $\Upsilon(P) = \Upsilon_T(P)$ for $P \in spec_T K^{P,Q}_{n,\Gamma}$ is a homeomorphism such that its restriction $\Upsilon|_{primK^{P,Q}_{n,\Gamma}} : primK^{P,Q}_{n,\Gamma} \longrightarrow \Upsilon(primK^{P,Q}_{n,\Gamma})$ is also a homeomorphism.

Proof. (a) It follows by mimicking the proofs of [3, Proposition 2.7] and Lemma 4.7(a).

(b) It follows immediately from mimicking the proof of Lemma 4.7(b). Note that a prime ideal $P \in \mathrm{spec}\ _T K_n$ is primitive if and only if P is a maximal element of $\mathrm{spec}\ _T K_n$ by [8, Theorem 3.8 and Theorem 4.16].

(c) Note that the irreducible closed sets of spec K_n are exactly the sets $\mathcal{V}(P)$ for prime ideals P of K_n and that K_n is noetherian by [8, Theorem 4.12]. The result follows immediately from an argument mimicking the proof of Lemma 4.7 (c). $\square$

Remark 4.12. Let P, Q, Γ be the ones given in Definition 4.2 and let G be the multiplicative subgroup of $\mathbf{k}^\times$ generated by all p_i, q_j, γ_{ij}. Then, by [16, Lemma 2.4], there exists a group homomorphism ϕ from G into $\mathbf{k}$ such that its restriction to the torsion free subgroup of G is injective. Since each $p_iq_i^{-1}$ is not a root of unity, we have $\phi(p_i) \neq \phi(q_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$. Set

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(P) &= (\phi(p_1), \cdots, \phi(p_n))\\ \phi(Q) &= (\phi(q_1), \cdots, \phi(q_n))\\ \phi(\Gamma) &= (\phi(\gamma_{ij})).\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\phi(\Gamma)$ is a skew-symmetric $n \times n$-matrix with entries in $\mathbf{k}$ since Γ is a multiplicative skew-symmetric $n \times n$-matrix.

Lemma 4.13. *Let $\pi_1 : X \longrightarrow Y$ and $\pi_2 : Y \longrightarrow Z$ be topological quotient maps. Then the composition $\pi_2 \circ \pi_1 : X \longrightarrow Z$ is also a topological quotient map.*

Proof. Clearly, the composition $\pi_2 \circ \pi_1$ is surjective. For a subset C of Z, C is closed in Z if and only if $\pi_2^{-1}(C)$ is closed in Y if and only if $\pi_1^{-1}(\pi_2^{-1}(C)) = (\pi_2 \circ \pi_1)^{-1}(C)$ is closed in X since both π_1 and π_2 are topological quotient maps. Hence $\pi_2 \circ \pi_1$ is a topological quotient map. $\square$

Theorem 4.14. *Let P, Q, Γ, ϕ be the ones given in Definition 4.2 and Remark 4.12, and assume that the subgroup of $\mathbf{k}^\times$ generated by all p_i, q_j, γ_{ij} does not contain -1. Then there exists a topological quotient map*

$$\pi : pspec A_{n,\phi(\Gamma)}^{\phi(P),\phi(Q)} \longrightarrow spec K_{n,\Gamma}^{P,Q}$$

such that its restriction

$$\pi|_{symp A_{n,\phi(\Gamma)}^{\phi(P),\phi(Q)}} : symp A_{n,\phi(\Gamma)}^{\phi(P),\phi(Q)} \longrightarrow prim\ K_{n,\Gamma}^{P,Q}$$

is also a topological quotient map. Moreover, if ϕ is a monomorphism then both π and $\pi|_{symp A_{n,\phi(\Gamma)}^{\phi(P),\phi(Q)}}$ are homeomorphisms.

Proof. By Theorem 2.1 and Remark 4.12, the Poisson algebra $A_{n,\phi(\Gamma)}^{\phi(P),\phi(Q)}$ is constructed. Set $A = A_{n,\phi(\Gamma)}^{\phi(P),\phi(Q)}$. Let $\mathbf{s}_n = (s_{ij})$ be the $2n \times 2n$-matrix attached to $K_{n,\Gamma}^{P,Q}$ which is given in Definition 4.3. Note that $\phi(\mathbf{s}_n) = (\phi(s_{ij}))$ is the attached matrix to the Poisson algebra A by Definition 4.1 and Definition 4.3, and that $Q(\phi(\mathbf{s}_n))$ is the Poisson algebra attached to A. Note that $Q(\phi(\mathbf{s}_n))$ is Poisson isomorphic to $\mathbf{k}_u\Lambda^+$ for a suitable antisymmetric biadditive map, where $\Lambda^+ = (\mathbb{Z}^+)^{2n}$, that $R(\mathbf{s}_n)$ is the multiparameter quantized coordinate ring of affine $2n$-space corresponding to the antisymmetric

$2n\times 2n$-matrix $\mathbf{s}_n$, and that $\biguplus_T \mathrm{pspec}_{\eta(T)}Q(\phi(\mathbf{s}_n))\subseteq \mathrm{pspec}\ Q(\phi(\mathbf{s}_n))$ and $\biguplus_T \mathrm{spec}_{\eta(T)}R(\mathbf{s}_n)\subseteq \mathrm{spec}\ R(\mathbf{s}_n)$. Let

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_2 &: \mathrm{pspec}\ Q(\phi(\mathbf{s}_n))\longrightarrow \mathrm{spec}\ R(\mathbf{s}_n)\\ \pi_2|_{\mathrm{symp}\ Q(\phi(\mathbf{s}_n))} &: \mathrm{symp}\ Q(\phi(\mathbf{s}_n))\longrightarrow \mathrm{prim}\ R(\mathbf{s}_n)\end{aligned}$$

be the topological quotient maps given in [16, Corollary 3.6]. Then the restriction of π_2 to the surjection

$$\pi_1 : \biguplus_T \mathrm{pspec}_{\eta(T)}Q(\phi(\mathbf{s}_n))\longrightarrow \pi_2(\biguplus_T \mathrm{pspec}_{\eta(T)}Q(\phi(\mathbf{s}_n))) = \biguplus_T \mathrm{spec}_{\eta(T)}R(\mathbf{s}_n)$$
$$P\mapsto \pi_2(P)$$

is a topological quotient map by [16, Proof of Proposition 3.4] and the restriction of π_1 to $\biguplus_T \mathrm{symp}_{\eta(T)}Q(\phi(\mathbf{s}_n))$

$$\pi_1|_{\biguplus_T \mathrm{symp}_{\eta(T)}Q(\phi(\mathbf{s}_n))} : \biguplus_T \mathrm{symp}_{\eta(T)}Q(\phi(\mathbf{s}_n))\longrightarrow \biguplus_T \mathrm{prim}_{\eta(T)}R(\mathbf{s}_n)$$

is also a topological quotient map by [16, Proof of Proposition 3.4] since the map $\pi_1|_{\biguplus_T \mathrm{symp}_{\eta(T)}Q(\phi(\mathbf{s}_n))}$ is the restriction of $\pi_2|_{\mathrm{symp}\ Q(\phi(\mathbf{s}_n))}$ to the set $\biguplus_T \mathrm{symp}_{\eta(T)}Q(\phi(\mathbf{s}_n))$. Moreover, if ϕ is a monomorphism then both π_1 and its restriction $\pi_1|_{\biguplus_T \mathrm{symp}_{\eta(T)}Q(\phi(\mathbf{s}_n))}$ are homeomorphisms. Let Ψ and Υ be the homeomorphisms given in Lemma 4.7 and Lemma 4.11, respectively. Then the composition $\pi=\Upsilon^{-1}\circ\pi_1\circ\Psi$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{pspec}(A) = \biguplus_T \mathrm{pspec}_T(A) &\xrightarrow{\Psi} \biguplus_T \mathrm{pspec}_{\eta(T)}Q(\phi(\mathbf{r}_n))\\ &\xrightarrow{\pi_1} \biguplus_T \mathrm{spec}_{\eta(T)}R(\mathbf{r}_n)\xrightarrow{\Upsilon^{-1}} \biguplus_T \mathrm{spec}_T K^{P,Q}_{n,\Gamma} = \mathrm{spec} K^{P,Q}_{n,\Gamma}\end{aligned}$$

is a topological quotient map such that its restriction to $\mathrm{symp}A$ is also a topological quotient map by Lemma 4.13, and both π and $\pi|_{\mathrm{symp}A}$ are homeomorphisms if the group homomorphism ϕ is injective. □

Lemma 4.15. *Let A be a finitely generated Poisson algebra. Then the map*

$$\pi : \mathit{spec}\ A\longrightarrow \mathit{pspec}\ A,\quad P\mapsto (P:\mathcal{H}(A))$$

is a topological quotient map.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{H}(A)$ is the set of all Hamiltonians of A, if P is a prime ideal then $(P:\mathcal{H}(A))$ is a prime Poisson ideal of A by [14, Lemma 1.3]. Hence pspec A satisfies the conditions (a) and (b) of [4, 1.1] and the map π is a topological quotient map by [4, 1.7]. □

Lemma 4.16. *Let P be a symplectic ideal of A_n. Then*

$$P=\cap\{M\in\max A_n \mid (M:\mathcal{H}(A_n))=P\}.$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.8, the set $P \cap \mathcal{P}_n = T$ is an admissible set. Let M be a maximal ideal of A_n such that $M \cap \mathcal{P}_n = T$ and $P \subseteq M$. Then $(M : \mathcal{H}(A_n)) = P$ since $(M : \mathcal{H}(A_n))$ is a symplectic ideal containing P and P is a maximal element of pspec ${}_TA_n$ by Theorem 4.10. Hence it is enough to show that there exists a set U of maximal ideals M of A_n such that $M \cap \mathcal{P}_n = T$, $P \subseteq M$ and $\cap U = P$.

Let M be a prime ideal of A_n such that $M \cap \mathcal{P}_n = T$ and $\Psi_T(M)$ is a maximal ideal of $Q(\mathbf{r}_n)$. Then the extension $(M/\langle T\rangle)^e$ is a maximal ideal of $(A_n/\langle T\rangle)[\overline{\mathcal{Y}}_T^{\,-1}]$ and $\Psi_T(M) \cap \{Y_1, X_1, \cdots, Y_n, X_n\} = \eta(T)$ by Lemma 4.7 (b). If there exists a maximal ideal N of A_n properly containing M then $\Psi_T(M) \subset \Psi_{T'}(N)$ by Lemma 4.7 (b), where $T' = N \cap \mathcal{P}_n$. It is a contradiction to the maximality of $\Psi_T(M)$. Hence if M is a prime ideal of A_n such that $M \cap \mathcal{P}_n = T$ and $\Psi_T(M)$ is a maximal ideal of $Q(\mathbf{r}_n)$ then M is a maximal ideal of A_n.

The Poisson algebra $Q(\mathbf{r}_n)/\langle \eta(T)\rangle$ is Poisson isomorphic to $Q(\mathbf{r}'_n) = \mathbf{k}[z_1, \cdots, z_k]$, where $\mathbf{r}'_n$ is the submatrix of $\mathbf{r}_n$ deleting rows and culumns corresponding to Y_i's and X_i's in $\eta(T)$, and $k = 2n - |\eta(T)|$. Set $B = \mathbf{k}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \cdots, z_k^{\pm 1}]$. Note that if N is a maximal ideal of B then there exists a maximal ideal M of $\mathbf{k}[z_1, \cdots, z_k]$ such that $M \cap \{z_1, \cdots, z_k\} = \phi$ and $MB = N$ and that the symplectic ideal $\Psi_T(P)$ corresponds naturally to a maximal prime Poisson ideal Q of B by Proposition 4.9 or [14, Corollary 2.3 and Theorem 2.4]. Since $B = \mathbf{k}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \cdots, z_k^{\pm 1}]$ is a Jacobson ring, Q is an intersection of maximal ideals of B. Hence the symplectic ideal $\Psi_T(P)$ is an intersection of maximal ideals N of $Q(\mathbf{r}_n)$ such that $\Psi_T(P) \subseteq N$ and $N \cap \{Y_1, X_1, \cdots, Y_n, X_n\} = \eta(T)$. It follows by the above paragraph and Lemma 4.7 (b) that P is an intersection of maximal ideals M of A_n such that $P \subseteq M$ and $M \cap \mathcal{P}_n = T$. It completes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 4.17. *The map*

$$\pi|_{\max A_n} : \max A_n \longrightarrow \textit{symp } A_n,\ M \mapsto (M : \mathcal{H}(A_n))$$

is a topological quotient map.

Proof. The map

$$\pi : \text{spec } A_n \longrightarrow \text{pspec } A_n, P \mapsto (P : \mathcal{H}(A_n))$$

is a topological map by Lemma 4.15 and its restriction

$$\pi|_{\max A_n} : \max A_n \longrightarrow \text{symp } A_n,\ M \mapsto (M : \mathcal{H}(A_n))$$

is surjective by the definition of symplectic ideals. A subset U of $\max A_n$ is said to be relatively π-stable provided that $U = \max A_n \cap V$ for some subset $V \subseteq \text{spec } A_n$ with $V = \pi^{-1}(\pi(V))$. If a subset U of $\max A_n$ is relatively π-stable then $\cap U$ is an intersection of symplectic ideals of A_n by Lemma 4.16, and thus the restriction $\pi|_{\max A_n} : \max A_n \longrightarrow \text{symp } A_n$ is also a topological quotient map by [14, Lemma 1.3]. $\square$

Corollary 4.18. *Let P, Q, Γ be the ones given in Definition 4.2 and assume that the subgroup of $\mathbf{k}^\times$ generated by all p_i, q_j, γ_{ij} does not contain -1. Then there exists a topological quotient map*

$$\pi : spec\ \mathbf{k}[y_1, x_1, \cdots, y_n, x_n] \longrightarrow spec\ K_{n,\Gamma}^{P,Q}$$

such that its restriction

$$\pi|_{\max \mathbf{k}[y_1,x_1,\cdots,y_n,x_n]} : \max \mathbf{k}[y_1, x_1, \cdots, y_n, x_n] \longrightarrow prim\ K_{n,\Gamma}^{P,Q}$$

is also a topological quotient map.

Proof. It follows immediately from Lemma 4.13, Theorem 4.14, Lemma 4.15 and Lemma 4.17. □

References

1. K. A. Brown and K. R. Goodearl, *Lectures on algebraic quantum groups*, Advanced courses in mathematics-CRM Barcelona, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel·Boston·Berlin, 2002.
2. Vyjayanthi Chari and Andrew Pressley, *A guide to quantum groups*, Cambridge University Press, Providence, 1994.
3. J. Gómez-Torrecillas and L. EL Kaoutit, *Prime and primitive ideals of a class of iterated skew polynomial rings*, J. Algebra **244** (2001), 186–216.
4. K. R. Goodearl and E. S. Letzter, *Quantum n-space as a quotient of classical n-space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **352** (2000), 5855–5876.
5. K. R. Goodearl and R. B. Warfield, *An introduction to noncommutative noetherian rings*, London Mathematical Society Student Text, vol. 16, Cambridge University Press, 1989.
6. T. J. Hodges, T. Levasseur, and M. Toro, *Algebraic structure of multi-parameter quantum groups*, Advances in Math. **126** (1997), 52–92.
7. K. L. Horton, *Prime spectra of iterated skew polynomial rings of quantized coordinate type*, Ph.D. Dissertation (2002), University of California at Santa Babara.
8. ———, *The prime and primitive spectra of multiparameter quantum symplectic and Euclidean spaces*, Comm. Algebra (to appear).
9. Seok-Jin Kang and Kyu-Hwan Lee, *Gröbner-Shirshov bases for irreducible $\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$-modules*, J. Algebra **232** (2000), 1–20.
10. Leonid I. Korogodski and Yan S. Soibelman, *Algebras of functions on quantum groups*, Part I, Mathematical surveys and monographs, vol. 56, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1998.
11. G. R. Krause and T. H. Lenagan, *Growth of algebras and Gelfand-Kirillov dimension*, Revised Edition, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 22, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1999.
12. J. C. McConnell and J. C. Robson, *Noncommutative noetherian rings*, Pure & Applied Mathematics, A Wiley-interscience series of texts, monographs & tracts, Wiley Interscience, New York, 1987.
13. Sei-Qwon Oh, *Primitive ideals of the coordinate ring of quantum symplectic space*, J. Algebra **174** (1995), 531–552.
14. ———, *Symplectic ideals of Poisson algebras and the Poisson structure associated to quantum matrices*, Comm. Algebra **27** (1999), 2163–2180.
15. Sei-Qwon Oh, Chun-Gil Park, and Yong-Yeon Shin, *A Poincare-Birkhoff-Witt theorem for Poisson enveloping algebras*, Comm. Algebra **30(10)** (2002), 4867–4887.
16. ———, *Quantum n-space and Poisson n-space*, Comm. Algebra **30(9)** (2002), 4197–4209.

17. M. Vancliff, *Primitive and Poisson spectra of twists of polynomial rings*, Algebras and Representation Theory **2** (1999), 269–285.

Department of Mathematics, Chungnam National University, Taejon 305-764, Korea
E-mail address: `sqoh@math.cnu.ac.kr`